BIOTRANSFORMATION OF COFFEE PULP USING FILAMENTOUS FUNGI

By

María del Pilar Sierra Gómez

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER IN SCIENCE In CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO MAYAGÜEZ CAMPUS 2012

Approved by:

Patricia Ortiz Bermúdez, PhD President, Graduate Committee

Lorenzo Saliceti Piazza, PhD Member, Graduate Committee

Sandra L. Maldonado Ramírez, PhD Member, Graduate Committee

María L. Plaza, PhD Representative of Graduate Studies

Aldo Acevedo Rullán, PhD Chairperson of the Department Date

Date

Date

Date

Date

ABSTRACT

Efforts devoted to the efficient use of agro-industrial residues have increased, especially if the use contributes to solve pollution problems. Coffee pulp/husk is the main byproduct generated during coffee wet processing. To reduce the pollution from this source it is necessary to establish new treatments to bio-transform these wastes, which contain various toxic substances (e.g. caffeine and lignin). The presence of these substances limits their recycling potential and makes their management an environmental challenge. This study focuses on the characterization of fungal strains which might be able to detoxify coffee processing wastes. Among the activities we screened for are decaffeination and delignification. We have identified *Alternaria alternata* as a potential candidate to degrade caffeine. This isolate was obtained from a ligniculous fungi collection provided by the Forest Products Laboratory in Madison, Wisconsin. *A. alternata* was evaluated in terms of caffeine degradation as carbon and nitrogen source. Under these conditions we observed a decrease near to 45.33% in the caffeine content. After addition of sucrose the caffeine degradation was 60.92%, in the same period (69 days). Analysis in coffee pulp using *Alternaria alternata* showed the ability to caffeine degradation during fermentation time (20 days) obtaining a 44.5% of caffeine degradation.

Keywords: caffeine, lignin, coffee pulp, filamentous fungi

RESUMEN

Investigaciones dedicadas al uso eficiente de residuos agroindustriales han ido aumentando, especialmente aquellas que contribuyen a solucionar problemas de contaminación. La pulpa de café es el principal subproducto generado durante el procesamiento húmedo de café. Para reducir la contaminación de esta fuente es necesario establecer nuevos tratamientos para bio-transformar por medio biológico estos desperdicios, los cuales contienen sustancias toxicas (ej. cafeína y lignina). La presencia de estas sustancias limita su potencial de de ser reciclados, convirtiendo el manejo de estos desperdicios en un gran reto ambiental. Este estudio se enfocó en caracterizar cepas de hongos capaces de detoxificar los desperdicios del procesamiento de café. Entre las actividades de interés se seleccionaron para la decafeinización y delignificación. Se identificó a Alternaria alternata como un candidato potencial para la degradación de cafeína. Este aislado fue obtenido de una colección de hongos ligniculosos provistos por The Forest Products Laboratory en Madison, Wisconsin. A. alternata fue evaluada en términos de degradación de cafeína como única fuente de carbono y nitrógeno. Bajo estas condiciones se observó una degradación cercana a 45.33% en el contenido de cafeína. Luego de añadir sacarosa la degradación de cafeína fue de un 60.92% en el mismo periodo de incubación (69 días). El análisis en la pulpa de café empleando Alternaria alternata mostró habilidad para degradar cafeína durante el tiempo de fermentación (20 días) obteniendo un 44.5% de degradación de cafeína.

Palabras claves: cafeína, pulpa de café, hongos filamentosos.

To God, to my family especially to my parents: Julita (in heaven) and Onofre, and to my love Andres "Osito", for their support in good and bad times whenever they happen, Love is forever.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I want to acknowledge to my advisor, Dr. Patricia Ortiz because she gave me the opportunity to do research under her guidance and supervision. I also express my gratitude to the other members of my committee, Drs. Sandra Maldonado and Lorenzo Saliceti for their review and comments on this thesis.

I want to thank the Chemical Engineering Department, the BioSEI program, the USDA RBOG program, and the Wi(PR)₂EM program for their financial support to complete my studies.

To my lab mates, Carlos Vásquez, Nolberto Figueroa, Mónica Medina, and Camilo Mora for their suggestions, to achieve a better work and for their friendship.

To all the undergraduate students which participated during this project, especially to Erick Zorrilla, for the invaluable assistance and for his friendship.

I would like to thank to the Chemical Engineering laboratories especially, to Dr. Colucci's lab, for the borrowed equipments, and in Dr. Torres's lab to Janet Méndez for her technical support.

Thanks to my friend Mario for his friendship.

Thanks to my family in Colombia and Puerto Rico (Ines and Jorge) for their unconditional support and love.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AB	STRACT	ii
RE	SUMEN	iii
AC	KNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
ТА	BLE OF CONTENTS	vi
ТА	BLE LIST	viii
FI(GURE LIST	ix
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Motivation	3
	1.2 LITERATURE REVIEW	4
	1.2.1 Mycology	4
	1.2.2 Bio-industrial fungi	6
	1.2.3 Coffee Processing	10
	1.2.4 Degradation of pollutants of agro industrial residues	12
	1.2.5 Caffeine detoxification by biological methods	14
	1.2.6 Previous works with coffee pulp and caffeine degradation	16
	1.3 Objectives	18
	1.3.1 Primary Objective	18
	1.3.2 Specific Objectives	
2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	20
,	2.1 IDENTIFY CANDIDATE STRAINS	20
	2.1.1 Screening	20
,	2.2. Assessment of caffeine detoxification by candidate strain in a purified system	21
	2.2.1 Liquid minimal media	21
	2.2.2 Caffeine determination in purified system	22
,	2.2.2.2 Capital action in purgical system 2.3 ASSESSMENT OF CAFEFINE DETOXIFICATION UPON GROWTH OF CANDIDATE STRAINS UNDER SURMERGE	ם גם
	2:5 Assessment of CMTEINE DEFORT TEATION OF ON GROWTH OF CANDIDATE STRAINS ONDER SOBMERGED STATE FERMENTATION (SMSSF) CONDITIONS	24 24
,	231 Coffee nuln	24
	2.3.1 Cojjec pulp	27
,	24 STATISTICS	30
2		21
3		
•	5.1 IDENTIFY CANDIDATE STRAINS	
,	3.1.1 Screening	
	3.2 ASSESSMENT OF CAFFEINE DETOXIFICATION BY CANDIDATE STRAIN IN A PURIFIED SYSTEM	
	3.2.1 Liquia minimal media (cajfeine) stationary culture assay	
	3.2.2 Liquia minimal media (cajjeine-sucrose) stationary culture assay	
,	3.2.3 Liquia minimai meata (cajjeine-sucrose) snaking cuiture assay	
	3.5 ASSESSMENT OF CAFFEINE DETOXIFICATION UPON GROWTH OF CANDIDATE STRAINS UNDER SUBM	ERGED
	30LID STATE FERMENTATION (SMSSF)	
	2.2.2 System are ad Solid State Form out ation (Sec. SSE)	
,	3.3.2 Submergea Soua State Fermentation (SMSSF)	
•	J.4 J1A11011C3	43
4	DISCUSSION	
4	4.1 IDENTIFICATION OF CANDIDATE STRAINS	44
	<i>4.1.1</i> Screening	

	4.2 Ass	ESMENT OF CAFFEINE DETOXIFICATION BY CANDIDATE STRAIN IN A PURIFIED SYSTEM	46
	4.3 Ass	ESMENT OF CAFFEINE DETOXIFICATION UPON GROWTH OF CANDIDATE STRAIN UNDER SUB	MERGED
	SOLID STA	TE FERMENTATION (SMSSF) CONDITIONS	47
	4.3.1	Coffee Pulp	47
	4.3.2	Submerged Solid State Fermentation (SmSSF)	48
5	CONC	LUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	50
BI	BLIOGR	АРНҮ	52
AF	PPENDIX	ES	62
	APPEND	X A	62
	APPEND	ХВ	73
	APPEND	X C	74
	APPEND	X D	75

TABLE LIST

Table 1 Fungi involved in delignification, lignin degradation, lignin modifying enzymes, lignocellulosic fungi,	, plant
pathogens and saprophytes.	7
Table 2 Coffee pulp composition	12
Table 3 Previous reports on caffeine degradation	16
Table 4 Ligniculous fungi from the culture collection (CFMR) of the Forest Product Laboratory (FPL)	20
Table 5 Fungal screening from FPL collection under different temperatures and caffeine concentrations	31
Table 6 Fungal isolates from coffee pulp at different temperatures.	38
Table 7 Total of isolates from fresh coffee pulp by temperature and media	39
Table 8 Coffee pulp fresh composition.	40

FIGURE LIST

Figure 1 Nitrogen cycle	5
Figure 2 Carbon cycle	5
Figure 3 Coffee processing methods.	10
Figure 4 Coffee pulp	11
Figure 5 Coffee pulp wastes after harvesting season	11
Figure 6 Diagram of various chemical methods for caffeine removal	13
Figure 7 Caffeine degradation pathway by Rhizopus delemar	14
Figure 8 Demethylation pathways by bacteria	15
Figure 9 Filtration minimal media	22
Figure 10 Solid phase extraction (Cartridge C18)	23
Figure 11 Nitrogen content (sample digestion)	25
Figure 12 Nitrogen content (sample distillation)	26
Figure 13 Particle size	27
Figure 14 SmSSF with Alternaria alternata (sample preparation)	28
Figure 15 Ergosterol extraction	29
Figure 16 Ergosterol extracted and dried	29
Figure 17 Alternaria alternata under different temperatures and caffeine concentrations	32
Figure 18 Alternaria alternata growing at different concentration of carbon and nitrogen sources	33
Figure 19 Alternaria alternata minimal media supplemented with caffeine (day 14)	34
Figure 20 Caffeine concentration using Alternaria alternata	34
Figure 21 Caffeine concentration using minimal media (caffeine) at 25°C stationary	35
Figure 22 Appearance of liquid minimal media (caffeine-sucrose) after incubation	36
Figure 23 Caffeine degradation using liquid minimal media (caffeine-sucrose)	36
Figure 24 Caffeine concentration in minimal media incubated at 25°C and 110 RPM	37
Figure 25 Clumps formed by Alternaria alternata in minimal media under agitation.	37
Figure 26 Fungal isolates from fresh coffee pulp in CPE at 30°C	39
Figure 27 Solid State Submerged Fermentation	40
Figure 28 Microscopic slides of coffee pulp	41
Figure 29 Caffeine degradation, Ergosterol content and weight dry by Alternaria alternata SmSSF	
Figure 30 Detoxification process	
Figure 31 Isolate C01 microscopic characters.	
Figure 32 Isolate C01 colonies adverse and reverse.	63
Figure 33 Isolate C02, inoculated on CYA 25°C (40x)	64
Figure 34 Isolate C02 colonies adverse and reverse.	64
Figure 35 Isolate C10 microscopic characters: CYA 25° C 40x (left) wet mounting 10x (right)	65
Figure 36 Isolate C10 colonies adverse and reverse.	66
Figure 37 Isolate C11 microscopic characters: MEA 25° C 60x	66
Figure 38Isolate C11 colonies adverse and reverse.	67
Figure 39 Isolate C12 microscopic characters: MEA 25° C 40x	67
Figure 40 Isolate C11 colonies adverse and reverse.	68
Figure 41 Isolate C03 wet mounting 40 x at 25°C (right) structure from Barnett and Hunter (1986) (left)	69
Figure 42 Isolate C05 wet mounting 40 x on PDA (right), culture on PDA at 25°C	69
Figure 43 Isolate C06 wet mounting 40 x on PDA	70
Figure 44 Isolate C08 wet mounting 40 x on PDA at 25 °C	71
Figure 45 Isolate C04 wet mounting 40 x at 25°C	71
Figure 46 Isolate C08 wet mounting 40 x on PDA at 30 °C	72
Figure 47 Isolate C07 wet mounting 40 x on PDA at 25 °C	72
Figure 48 Caffeine content during fermentation of coffee pulp under SSSmF conditions	73
Figure 49 Residual Plots for minimal media (caffeine)	74
Figure 50 Residual Plots for minimal media (caffeine-sucrose)	75

1 INTRODUCTION

Agro-industrial residues have been used as efficient substrates in several bioprocesses such as the production of organic acids, the production of enzymes (Medeiros et al. 2006) and as feedstock for biorefineries (Sandun et al. 2006). The re-utilization of this residual matter into bioprocesses contributes to solve pollution problems, and improves resource management. Furthermore, the reutilization of wastes promotes the concept of integrated biorefineries, where the "wastes" could be used, for example, to generate energy for the plant, allowing a total sustainability of the process.

The accumulation of coffee pulp/husk is a prevalent problem in regions where coffee processing takes place. The large worldwide demand on coffee consumption increase the magnitude of problem exponentially with time (Berecha et al. 2011) wet processing techniques, (that produce coffee pulp/husk) are used for approximately 40 percent of global production generate wastewater with a biological oxygen demand (BOD) up to 150 g/l. (Soccol and Vandenberghe 2003). BOD is defined as the amount of oxygen required to biologically break down organic wastes diluted in water. The dry method results in 40% coffee husk/pulp as the main residue. Coffee growers spread the residue in the field where it is allowed to decompose (Salmones et al. 2005).

The expulsion of high quantities of wastewater in the initial bean processing leads to reduced oxygen levels in water, which can threaten many forms of marine life. Moreover, coffee processing industries are posing environmental hazards due to large-scale disposal of coffee pulp, husk and effluents from these units. This practice poses a greater threat to water and land quality around the coffee processing plants. Presence of toxic compounds like phenols in these byproducts restricts their direct use in agriculture. In addition, the indiscriminate use of fresh coffee pulp also affects crops through acid formation and local heat generation in the process of its fermentation. In order to decrease the adverse effects of coffee processing wastes, there is a need to develop a healthier and productive way of re-utilizing these wastes (Preethu et al. 2007).

There have been reports in which the feasibility of recycling coffee husk and pulp is explored. Coffee pulp is the main byproduct from the wet processing of coffee beans is a good source of carbohydrates,

protein, fat and fibers, which may be a useful feed supplement for cattle or another livestock and is a good substrate for bioconversion processes (Mazzafera, 2002). Orozco et al. (2008) reported that efforts to recycle coffee pulp and husk include activities such as composting, feeding it to animals, production of organic fertilizers, aroma production, single-cell proteins which refers to the dried cells of microorganisms such as algae, bacteria, and fungi grown in large-scale culture systems for use as protein source in human food or animal feed (Litchfield, 1983), and biogas (Jayachandra et al. 2011).

Brand et al. (2002) explained that coffee husk could be beneficial as animal feed if some antiphysiological factors, such as tannins, polyphenols, and caffeine (highest toxic), among others are partially or entirely removed by biological detoxification. Likewise, Ashihara and Crozier (1999) mentioned ways to remove caffeine from coffee beans. However, differences in relative levels of each anti physiological factor are highly dependent on coffee varieties and thus this fact should be considered during the process. Filamentous fungi have been proposed as detoxifying biological agents on agro industrial wastes (Brand et al. 2000). Several fungal strains have been studied for cellulase or pectinase production from agricultural wastes, but there are no reports of simultaneous production of cellulase, mannase and pectinase by a single strain (Fujii and Takeshi 2007). Furthermore, there are fewer studies that evaluate the production of enzymes using the coffee pulp. For these reasons the authors suggest the use of coffee pulp for biotechnological application. Locally, there are no studies evaluating potential strains to detoxify coffee pulp and their potential for other biodegradation capabilities such as lignocellulose degradation. The study proposed here focuses on the characterization of caffeine biodegradation using fungi and the development of a simple, cost effective and environmentally friendly bioprocess to implement biodetoxification on the reutilization of coffee processing waste.

1.1 Motivation

The adoption of environmentally sustainable production practices holds the promise to improve economic returns to producers over the short and long term. Therefore, certified socially-and environmentally responsible coffees typically receive a considerable higher price over conventional coffees. In Puerto Rico, coffee is one of the most important crops. Twenty-two municipalities compose the coffee production region, located mainly along the western central part of the island. In 2009 the production of coffee estimated by Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) was 7,523 tons per year and the worldwide coffee production was 8,261,487 tons per year (FAOSTAT)¹. Only 6% of the coffee cherries constitute the portion used as coffee powder, the remaining 94% was discarded as waste products (husk, pulp-mucilage, etc.) (Brand et al. 2002). As a result, coffee pulp is one of the major agro-industrial wastes produced during the pulping of the coffee cherry to obtain the coffee bean.

In some cases coffee waste management is neglected because the producers are mainly dedicated to their final product. Few of the coffee producers allocate sufficient resources to invest in appropriate waste management, which due to large volumes of wastes generated need more workers, equipment, and increases operating costs. In a similar manner, the costs of sophisticated technologies involved in the treatment of waste are increasingly high. In spite of all the latter, a multi-disciplinary approach including bioprocess development, biotechnology, and microbial technology promises to minimize the costs of waste management by using microorganisms that carry out eco-friendly processes amenable for simple implementation.

We hypothesize that, screening ligniculous fungi, which exist in close proximity to lignin, for their ability to utilize caffeine will result in the development of an eco-friendly, economically feasible and efficient coffee pulp detoxification system. The resulting detoxified material may be a good nutritional supplement for animal feed, suitable compost, and a source of biomass for biofuel.

¹ <u>http://faostat.fao.org</u>

1.2 Literature Review

Some of the studies cited here represent an effort to integrate the principal areas of study including microbiological population, biomass characterization and the integration of both to develop novel technologies to treat this kind of pollution.

1.2.1 Mycology

Fungi are eukaryotic organisms with important ecological roles as saprotrophs, mutualistic symbionts, parasites, or hyperparasites (Webster and Weber 2007). Filamentous fungi consists of rigid tubular hyphae containing varied amounts of cytoplasm that can be moved through the hypha, depending on the environmental conditions, including the levels and ratios of nutrient resources, as well as the physical distribution of nutrients (Klein and Paschke 2004). Hyphae are generally quite uniform in different taxonomic groups of fungi. One of the few features of distinction that they do offer is the presence or absence of cross-walls or septa (Webster and Weber 2007).

Fungi are in majority mesophilic, organisms growing at temperatures within the range of 10-35 °C, with optimum temperatures between 15 and 30°C. Some species (e.g. *Aspergillus fumigatus, Talaromyces avellaneus*) are thermo tolerant and will grow at higher temperatures, although they are still capable of growth at room temperature. All filamentous fungi are variable in their pH requirements, growing well over the range pH 3 to 7 (Hawksworth and Kirsop 1988).

Filamentous fungi are capable of colonizing a wide range of living and dead tissues, including plants, wood and paper products, agricultural plant residues, and live or dead animal tissues (Brussaard et al. 1997). In composting, fungi colonize a mixture of heterogeneous substrates such as municipal solid waste and cattle manure with straw (Bonito et al. 2010). Many fungi can grow on solid substrates and secrete extracellular enzymes that break down various polymers to molecules that are then reabsorbed by the fungal colony (Gadd 2001). These microorganisms take an important role in carbon and nitrogen cycles. To mention, nitrogen cycling (Figure 1) involves four microbiological processes: nitrogen fixation, mineralization (decay), nitrification and denitrification. The input of large amounts of nitrogen fertilizers to agricultural fields influences these processes, especially nitrification and denitrification, and results in



Figure 1 Nitrogen cycle

In the carbon cycle (Figure 2), fungi has the ability to break down dead organic matter into simple compounds (e.g. degrading lignin to CO_2). During the process of decomposing organic matter, fungi returns carbon dioxide to the atmosphere (Sanchez, 2009).



Figure 2 Carbon cycle

Many of bio-industrial fungi belong to sub-phylum Ascomycota, the largest group of fungi. The principal characteristic of the group is the sexually produced spores, called ascospores, within a sac (the ascus). Ascomycetes, grow in soil, are common on the above-ground parts of plants, and are also found in

freshwater and in the sea. Some of them are saprotrophs, others necrotrophic or biotrophic parasites of plants and animals, including humans (Webster and Weber 2007).

1.2.2 Bio-industrial fungi

Filamentous fungi exhibit characteristics that make them good models for industrial applications. Among some of these are their capacity for fermentation, the production of large quantities of extracellular enzymes (e.g. several grams per liter in strains of *Aspergillus*), the feasibility of cultivation, and the low-cost of production in large bioreactors. Fungi most frequently used for the production of polymer-degrading enzymes include *Trichoderma reesei* and several of strains of *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* (Lara-Marquez et al. 2011).

Fungi have been extensively used as cell factories for different biotechnological products such as enzymes, pharmaceuticals, as well as primary and secondary metabolites. In terms of industrial production, could represent billions of U.S. dollars in annual revenues (Dijksterhuis 2007).

The most important bio-industries are:

- Food industry: For instance, include alcoholic fermentations by yeasts as the basis of the wine and brewing industries. Some ascomycetes are important in food production as in bread-making by yeast, cheese ripening by *Penicillium roqueforti* and *P. camemberti* and the fermentation of soybeans and wheat by *Aspergillus*, yeasts and bacteria to produce soy sauce (Webster and Weber 2007).
- 2. Pharmaceutical industry: Include production of antibacterial antibiotics such as penicillin from *Penicillium chrysogenum* and cephalosporin from *Acremonium* spp.
- 3. Agro industry: Include production of organic acids (e.g. citric acid from *Aspergillus niger*) or another byproducts from agro-industries residues. In particular, use of biotechnological methods represents a major challenge, especially when trying to integrate biology and engineering as tools for cleaner production and environmentally friendly processes. The method of biopulping evidence the successful integration of these two disciplines. Biopulping a method that uses fungi known to be able to degrade wood as well as the lignin constituent of wood. The fungus such as *Ceriporiopsis subvermispora* is non-sporulating and is a selective lignin degrader. It colonizes

either on living or dead wood and decomposes all wood polymers including lignin and extractives, making it to an extreme contender to be used in biopulping. The process of biopulping reduces the utilization of chemicals in the pulping industry and help in decreasing the environmental hazard caused by normal pulping. (Singh et al. 2010)

A wide list of fungi which has been related with any lignin or lignocellulose activity (enzyme production, delignification and others) is showed in Table 1.

Table 1 Fungi involved in delignification, lignin degradation, lignin modifying enzymes, lignocellulosic fungi, plant pathogens and saprophytes.

Microorganism	Bioprocess	Enzyme production	References
Agrocybe aegerita	Lignocellulose	Manganese-oxidizing	Liers et al. (2011)
	degradation	peroxidases	
Alternaria	Carbendazim	Beta-Galactosidase	Bilkay, IS (2009) Hiltunen and Soderhall
alternata	degradation	Methyltransferase Pectinolic	(1992) Martinez et al. (1988) Atalla et al.
		enzymes Laccase	(2010) Rezacova et al. (2006) Silva et al.
		Manganese-peroxidase	(1999)
Aspergillus sp	Lignin degradation	Manganese peroxidases, Laccase	Yang et al. (2011)
Auricularia	Lignocellulosic	NR	Ma et al. (2011)
polytricha	degradation		
Bjerkandera	Lignocellulolytic	Laccase, Manganese	Chen, et al. (2011)
adusta	biodegradation	peroxidase, and Lignin peroxidase	
Ceriporiopsis	Lignocellulose	Manganese-peroxidase,	Tanaka et al. (2009) Yaghoubi et al.
subvermispora	degradation	Cellobiose Dehydrogenase,	(2008) Mancilla et al. (2010) Cunha et al.
	Lignocellulolytic	Mannanase, Xylanases	(2010) Harreither et al. (2009) Magalhaes
	degradation Production	Laccase, Cellulase,	and Milagres (2009) Babic and Pavko
	of ligninolytic enzymes,	Manganese peroxidase	(2007) Chmelova, et al. (2011) Wan and
	Fenton reaction,		Li (2011) Ohashi et al. (2007) Wan and
	Delignification		Li (2010)
Cerrena unicolor	Production of lignin	Manganese peroxidases,	Winquist, et al (2008)
	modifying enzymes	Laccase	
Chaetomium	Lignocellulose	Xylanolytic enzymes	Popescu et al. (2010) Gandhi and
globosum	degradation		Rao(1997)
Coniochaeta	Lignocellulose-degrading	Cellulase, xylanase,	Lopez, et al. (2007)
ligniaria	enzymes production	manganese peroxidase and	
Coniclonaia	Lioningges modulation	lignin peroxidase	Correspondent of (2000)
Coriolopsis hurging	Lightnases production	Lacasse	Gomes et al. (2009)
Dyrsinu Curvularia	Wood decay	Chloroperovidase	Ortiz Barmudaz at al. (2007)
inaequalis	wood decay	Chloroperoxidase	Offiz-Berniudez et al. (2007)
Daldinia	Wood decay	NR	Nsolomo et al. (2000)
concentrica	wood deeay	10K	(2000)
Dichomitus	Lignocellulolytic	Laccase. Manganese	Chen et al. (2011)
saualens	biodegradation	peroxidase and Lignin-	
squarens	ereegraduton	peroxidase	
		r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Table 1 (continued)

Microorganism	Bioprocess	Enzyme production	References
Ganoderma	Wood decay	Laccase	Elissetche et al. (2007)
australe			
Ganoderma	Delignification	Laccase, Manganese	Ke et al. (2011) Misra et al. (2007)
lucidum		peroxidase, and Lignin peroxidase	
Ganoderma ssp	Delignification	NR	Segura et al. (2008)
Gloephyllum	Delignification Ethanol	NR	Monrroy et al. (2010) Shrestha et al.
trabeum	production Bamboo decay		(2010) Cho et al. (2008)
Hypoxylon	Lignocellulolytic	Laccase, Manganese	Chen et al. (2011)
fragiforme	biodegradation	peroxidase, and Lignin- peroxidase	
Lecytophora	Lignocellulose	NR	Bugos et al. (1988)
hoffmannii	degradation		
Lentinus edodes	Bioethanol production [,] Bamboo decay	NR	Hiyama et al. (2011) Kim et al. (2008)
Lentinus ssp	Delignification, Ligninases production	Manganese peroxidases and lignin peroxidase	Segura et al. (2008) Gomes et al. (2009)
Lentinus strigellus	Ligninases production	NR	Gomes et al. (2009)
Microsphaeropsis	NR	Chitinolitic and cellulolytic enzymes	Benyagoub et al. (1998)
Paecilomyces inflatus	Lignocellulose degradation	NR	Kluczek-Turpeinen et al. (2007)
Panus tigrinus CBS 577.79	Enzymes production	Laccase	Quarantino et al. (2007)
Penicillium	Enzymes production	Xylanase and Laccase	Dwivedi et al. (2011)
Phanearochaete	Delignification.	Lacassa, Lignin peroxidase.	Huang et al. (2010) Vanden et al. (2009)
chrysosporium	lignocellulose degradation, Ethanol production Cellulose degradation, Saccharification Lignolytic enzymes production, Wastewater treatment, Lignin- degrading enzymes	Manganese Peroxidase	Shrestha et al. (2010) Validen et al. (2000) Mena-Espino et al. (2011) Kanmani et al. (2009) Li et al. (2011) Gassara et al. (2011) Sridhar et al. (2011) Gupte et al. (2007) Wu and Yu (2007) Dutt et al. (2007) Kwon et al. (2008) Singh and Chen (2008) Hu et al. (2009)
Phellinus rimosus	Ligninases production	NR	Gomes et al. (2009)
Phialocephala	Decayed wood	NR	Jones and Worrall (1995)
dimorphospora			
Phlebia brevispora	Delignification	NR	Arora and Sharma (2011)
Phlebia floridensis	Lignocellulosic enzymes	Manganese peroxidase,	Sharma and Arora (2010)
Phlahia radiata	Lignocellulose	Manganese-ovidizing	Liers et al. (2011) Chen et al. (2011) Cho
1 media radiale	degradation	peroxidases Lignin	et al. (2009) Kang et al. (2010)
	Lignocellulolytic	peroxidase Manganese-	et al. (2007) Rang et al. (2010)
	biodegradation, Wood decay	peroxidase, Laccase	
Phlebia tremellosa	Lignin degrading	Laccase and Manganese	Kum et al. (2011)
	enzymes production	peroxidase	
Physisporinus vitreus	Wood decay	NR	Schwarze and Schuber (2011)
Physisporinus vitreus	Delignification	NR	Lehringer et al. (2011)
Pleurotus eryngii	Lignocellulolytic	Laccase, Manganese	Chen et al. (2011)
	biodegradation	peroxidase, and Lignin- peroxidase	

Table 1 (continued)

Pleurotus ostreatusDelignification, Fluorene, Lignin-gencylidase, Lignin-gencylidase, Lignin-gencylidase, Lignin-gencylidase, Lignin-gencylidase, production, Ligno-ellulose degradationLacasse, Cellulose Manganese peroxidase, Lignin-gencylidase, All (2011) Osma et al. (2011) Mena-Espino et al. (2011) Divedi et al. (2011) Mena-Espino et al. (2011) Divedi et al. (2011) Mena-Espino et al. (2011) Divedi et al. (2011) Mena-Espino et al. (2011) Gupte et al. (2017) Sridhar et al. (2011) Gupte et al. (2017) Sridhar et al. (2010) Gupte et al. (2007) Alexandrino, et al. (2007)PleurotusLignolytic enzymes productionNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)PoiraLignin degrading productionLaccase, Manganese peroxidaseKum et al. (2011)PoriaEthanol productionNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)SubvermisporaPolggalacturonase, Beta-D glucosidaseManganese peroxidases, lignin peroxidase, lignin peroxidaseManganese peroxidase, lignin peroxidase, lignin peroxidase, lignin peroxidase,Sharma et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009)PycnoporusLignocelluloseManganese peroxidases, lignin peroxidase,Sharma et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009)PycnoporusLignolytic enzymes productionManganese peroxidases, lignin peroxidase,Sharma et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009)PycnoporusLignolytic enzymes productionManganese peroxidases, lignin peroxidase,Staszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al.<	Microorganism	Bioprocess	Enzyme production	References
Fluorene, LignocellulolyticManganese peroxidase, Lignin-peroxidase, Lignin-peroxidase, Lignin-peroxidase, Lignin-peroxidase, glucanaseParadrioglu (2011) Apohan and Yesilada (2011) Tinoco et al. (2011) Diaz et al. (2011) Chan et al. (2011) Sindar et al. (2011) Diaz et al. (2011) Chen et al. (2011) Chen et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al. (2007) Zhang et al. (2010) Gupt et al. (2007) Alexandrino, et al. (2007)PleurotusLignolytic erzymes adgradationNRSridhar et al. (2011) Gupt et al. (2007) Zhang et al. (2011) Gupt et al. (2007) Alexandrino, et al. (2007)PleurotusLignin degradationLaccase, peroxidaseManganese peroxidaseKum et al. (2011) Zhang et al. (2011) Zhang et al. (2011) Maret et al. (2011)PotroaEthanol production productionNRSalvachua, et al. (2011) Salvachua, et al. (2011)subvernisporaVood decayPolygalacturonase, Beta-D glucosidaseDuncan and Schilling(2010) Clausen and Green et al. (2019) Gupt et al. (2007)PycnoporusDelignificationNRGupt at al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009) sinimePycnoporusLignin degradation Lignin degradationLaccaseHomma et al. (2011) Peroxidase Ignin peroxidaseStrobilurusLignolytic enzymes production ProductionManganese peroxidases, Lignin peroxidaseStazzak et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009) Lignin et al. (2007) Vinguist, et al. (2007) Vinguist, et al. (2007) Vinguist, et al. (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winguist, et al. (2007) Thirachelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winguist, et al. (2007) Thirachelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Wingui	Pleurotus ostreatus	Delignification,	Lacasse,Cellulose	Shrivastava et al. (2011) Akgogan and
Lignocellulolytic biodegradation, Lignolytic enzymes production, Lignocellulose degradationLignin-peroxidase, Xylanase, endo-1,4 glucanase(2011) Osma et al. (2011) Diaz et al. (2011) Tinoco et al. (2011) Chen et al. (2011) Gupte et al. (2011) Chang et al. (2011) Gupte et al. (2007) Zhang et al. (2007) Alexandrino, et al. (2007)Pleurotus sajorcaju Poria brumalis enzymes production Poria DistributionNRSridhar et al. (2011) Sridhar et al. (2011) Sridhar et al. (2011) Sridhar et al. (2011)Poria brumalis productionenzymes production peroxidaseNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)Poria brumalis promes productionNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)Poria brumalis prostia placentaWood decayPolygalacturonase, Beta-D- glucosidaseBuncan and Schilling(2010) Clausen and Gupta et al. (2011)Pycnoporus brunalarinus Pycnoporus SurguineusLignin degradation Lignin peroxidaseNRGupta et al. (2011)Pycnoporus brunkatarinusLigninases production lignin peroxidaseManganese peroxidases, lignin peroxidaseSharma et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009)Pycnoporus brunkatarinusLignocellulose degradationManganese peroxidases, lignin peroxidaseLiers et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al., (2007) Van Beek et al. (2007) Van Beek et al. (2007) Voniguitz, et al. (2007) Van Beek et al. (2007) Voniguitz, et al. (2007) Van Beek et al. (2007) Vinguitz, et al. (2007) Van Beek et al. (2007) Vinguitz, et al. (2007) Voniguitz, et al. (2007) Vorvallae Vorvallae Volvoraceae ProductionNRStaszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al. (2007) Vinguitz,		Fluorene,	Manganese peroxidase,	Pazarlioglu (2011) Apohan and Yesilada
biodegradation, LignolyticXylanase, endo-1,4endo-1,4 (2011) Tincoc et al. (2011) Mena-Espino et al. (2011) Dwivedi et al. (2011) Chen et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al. (2011) Sridhar et al. (2011) Gupte et al. (2007) degradationPleurotusLignolyticenzymes productionSridhar et al. (2011) Gupte et al. (2007) Zhang et al. (2007) Alexandrino, et al. (2007)PleurotusLignolyticenzymesNRSridhar et al. (2011) Sridhar et al. (2011)polyporusLignindegrading degraditonLaccase, peroxidaseManganeseKum et al. (2011)PoriaEthanol productionNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)PoriaEthanol productionNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)Postia placentaWood decayPolygalacturonase, Beta-D glucosidaseDuncan and Schilling(2010) Clausen and Green (1996) Green et al. (2007)PycnoporusDelignificationNRGupta et al. (2011)PycnosporusLignin ageradationLaccaseHomma et al. (2011)sanguineusLignocelluloseManganese peroxidases, lignin peroxidaseSharma et al. (2011)StrobilurusLignoclluloseManganese peroxidasesStaszczak et al. (2011)rumetesLignolyticenzymes, pelignificationLaccaseLiers et al. (2011)versicolorproduction Production or ProductionManganese peroxidasesStaszczak et al. (2011)VoriallaeLignolyticenzymesLignolyticenzymesVoriallaeLignolyticenzymesQuory yan Beek et al. (2007)Voriallae </td <td></td> <td>Lignocellulolytic</td> <td>Lignin-peroxidase,</td> <td>(2011) Osma et al. (2011) Diaz et al.</td>		Lignocellulolytic	Lignin-peroxidase,	(2011) Osma et al. (2011) Diaz et al.
Lignolyticenzymesglucanaseet al. (2011) Dwivedi et al. (2011) Chenproduction,LignocelluloseSridhar et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al. (2011)degradationSridhar et al. (2007)PleurotusLignolyticenzymessajorcajuproductionC(2007)PolurotusLignindegradingbrumalisenzymes productionperoxidasePoriaEthanol productionNRSalvermisporaSalvachua, et al. (2011)Postia placentaWood decayPolygalacturonase, Beta-DPycnoporusDelignificationNRSarguineusLignin degradationLaccasePycnoporusLignin agradationMarganeseProriaLigninases productionMarganesePronoporusDelignificationNRStrophariaLignocelluloseStrophariaLignocelluloseMarganese peroxidaseSharma et al. (2011)StrophariaLignocelluloseTrametesLignolyticversicolorproductionVoriallaeLignolyticVoriallaeLignolyticVoriallaeLignolyticVoriallaeLignolyticVoriallaeLignolyticVoriallaeLignolyticPolymorphaLignocelluloseNRSridhar et al. (2011)Stridhar et al. (2007)Stridhar et al. (2007)VoriallaeLignolyticProductionRaganeseProductionRaganeseProsidaseSridhar et al. (2007)		biodegradation,	Xylanase, endo-1,4	(2011) Tinoco et al. (2011) Mena-Espino
production, Lignocellulose degradationet al. (2011) Shrivastava et al (2011) Sridhar et al. (2007) Alexandrino, et al (2007)Pleurotus sajorcajuLignolytic enzymes productionNRSridhar et al. (2011) Sridhar et al. (2011) Sridhar et al. (2011)Pleurotus sajorcajuLignolytic enzymes productionNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)Poria subvermisporaEthanol production prosticNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)Poria subvermisporaEthanol productionNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)Postia placenta sanguineusWood decayPolygalacturonase, Beta-D glucosidaseDuncan and Schilling(2010) Clausen and Green (1996) Green et al. (1995) Micales (1991)Pycnoporus sanguineusLigninases production glucosidaseNRGupta et al. (2011)Pycnosporus sanguineusLignin degradation lignin peroxidaseSharma et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009) Lignin set al. (2007)Irametes versicolorLignolluloseManganese peroxidases, lignin modifying enzymes, DelignificationHomma et al. (2011)Voriallae versicolorLignolytic enzymes production Production of lignin modifying enzymes, DelignificationManganese peroxidases laccase, Lignin peroxidaseStaszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Unquist, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al. (2008)Voriallae volvoraceae productionLignocelluloseNRStastorakes corializeVoriallae volvoraceae productionLignocellu		Lignolytic enzymes	glucanase	et al. (2011) Dwivedi et al. (2011) Chen
Lignocellulose degradationSridhar et al. (2011) Gupte et al. (2007) Zhang et al. (2007) Alexandrino, et al (2007)Pleurotus sajorcaju PolyporusLignolytic enzymes production brumalis enzymes productionNRSridhar et al. (2011)Poria subvernisporaEthanol production peroxidaseNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)Postia placentaWood decayPolygalacturonase, Beta-D- glucosidaseDuncan and Schilling(2010) Clausen and Green (1996) Green et al. (1995) Micales (1991)Pycnoporus subvernisporaDelignificationNRGupta et al. (2011)Pycnoporus broharinusLigninases production lignin degradationManganese peroxidases, lignin peroxidaseSharma et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009)Stroblurus britmatiaLignocelluloseManganese peroxidases, lignin peroxidaseSharma et al. (2007)Pycnosporus brohurusLignocelluloseManganese peroxidases, lignin peroxidaseLiers et al. (2011)Stroblurus brohurusLignocelluloseManganese peroxidases, lignin peroxidaseLiers et al. (2011)Proxogoornulata brohurusdegradationPeroxidaseStaszczak et al. (2011)Privagooannulata brohurusLignolytic enzymes, DelignificationManganese peroxidases laccase, Lignin peroxidaseStaszczak et al. (2007) van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al. (2008)Voriallae volvoraceae productionLignolytic enzymesNRStidhar et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeL		production,		et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al (2011)
degradationZhang et al. (2007) Alexandrino, et al (2007)PleurotusLignolyticenzymesNRSridhar et al. (2011)sajorcajuproductionproductionSridhar et al. (2011)PolyporusLignindegrading enzymes productionLaccase, Manganese peroxidaseKum et al. (2011)PoriaEthanol productionNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)subvermisporaPolygalacturonase, Beta-D- glucosidaseDuncan and Schilling(2010) Clausen and Green (1996) Green et al. (1995) Micales (1991)PycnoporusDelignificationNRGupta et al. (2011)enzoperusLigninases productionManganese peroxidase, lignin peroxidaseSharma et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009)sanguineusLignocelluloseManganese peroxidaseSharma et al. (2011)StrophariaLignocelluloseManganese peroxidaseStaszczak et al. (2011)TrametesLignolytic enzymes production Production of lignin modifying enzymes, DelignificationPeroxidaseStaszczak et al. (2007) van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Jinguist, et al. (2008)VoriallaeLignolytic enzymes production Production of lignin modifying enzymes, DelignificationNRStaszczak et al. (2011)VoriallaeLignolytic enzymes productionNRStaszczak et al. (2007) Jinguez et al. (2007) Jinguez et al. (2007) Jinguez et al. (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al. (2008)VoriallaeWooddecayLaccase varianSridhar et al. (2011)<		Lignocellulose		Sridhar et al. (2011) Gupte et al. (2007)
PleurotusLignolyticenzymesNRSridhar et al. (2011)SajorcajuproductionperoxidaseKum et al. (2011)PolyporusLignindegradingLaccase,ManganeseKum et al. (2011)brumalisenzymes productionNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)poriaEthanol productionNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)subvernisporaPostia placentaWood decayPolygalacturonase, Beta-D glucosidaseDuncan and Schilling(2010) Clausen and Green (1996) Green et al. (1995) Micales (1991)PycnoporusDelignificationNRGutpa et al. (2011)einnabarinusEignin degradationLaccaseHomma et al. (2007)PycnosporusLignocelluloseManganese peroxidases lignin peroxidaseSharma et al. (2007)StrobilurusLignocelluloseManganese-oxidizing, PeroxidasesLiers et al. (2011)rugosoannulatadegradationPeroxidasesStaszzak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al. (2008)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignolyticenzymes, Production of ligninNRStidhar et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignolyticenzymes enzymesNRStidhar et al. (2007) Liers et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeWooddecayLaccaseManganese- peroxidasesChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)PolymorphaLignocelluloseLignocelluloseChaparro et al. (2009)		degradation		Zhang et al. (2007) Alexandrino, et al
PleurotusLignolyticenzymesNRSridhar et al. (2011)sajorcajuproductionproductionperoxidaseKum et al. (2011)brumalisenzymes productionperoxidaseKum et al. (2011)brumalisenzymes productionNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)subvernisporaPolyporusVood decayPolygalacturonase, Beta-D- glucosidaseDuncan and Schilling(2010) Clausen and Green (1996) Green et al. (1995) Micales (1991)PycnoporusDelignificationNRGupta et al. (2011)PycnoporusLignin degradationLaccaseHomma et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009)sanguineusLignocelluloseManganese peroxidases lignin peroxidaseSharma et al. (2011)StroblarusLignocelluloseManganese-oxidizing, PeroxidasesLiers et al. (2011)rugosoannulatadegradationPeroxidasesStaszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al. (2007) Van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) van Beek et al. (2007) Vinquist, et al. (2007)VoriallaeLignolyticenzymes, NRSridhar et al. (2011)volvoraceaeproductionNRSridhar et al. (2011)volvoraceaeproductionNRSridhar et al. (2007) Van Beek et al. (2007) Vinquist, et al. (2008)VoriallaeLignolyticenzymes, NRSridhar et al. (2011)volvoraceaeproductionKaccaseManganese- peroxidasesVolvoraceaeproductionKaszSridhar et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)polymorphaLignocelluloseLaccaseManganese- <br< td=""><td>DI</td><td></td><td></td><td>(2007)</td></br<>	DI			(2007)
sajorcajuproductionPolyporusLignindegradingLaccase,ManganeseKum et al. (2011)brumalisenzymes productionNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)subvermisporaPostia placentaWood decayPolygalacturonase, Beta-D glucosidaseDuncan and Schilling(2010) Clausen and Green (1996) Green et al. (1995) Micales (1991)PycnoporusDelignificationNRGupta et al. (2011)enzymes productionManganeseperoxidase(1991)PycnoporusDelignificationNRGupta et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009)enzymes productionManganese peroxidases lignin peroxidaseSharma et al. (2007)sanguineusLignin degradationLaccaseHomma et al. (2007)strobilurusLignocelluloseManganese peroxidasesStaszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al. (2007) Van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al. (2008)Voriallae volvoraceae production ofLignolyticenzymesNRVoriallae volvoraceaeLignolyticenzymesNRVoriallae volvoraceaeLignocelluloseNRSridhar et al. (2007) Liers et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignolyticenzymesNRVoriallae volvoraceaeLignocelluloseNRChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignocelluloseNRSridhar et al. (2001)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignocelluloseNR </td <td>Pleurotus</td> <td>Lignolytic enzymes</td> <td>NR</td> <td>Sridhar et al. (2011)</td>	Pleurotus	Lignolytic enzymes	NR	Sridhar et al. (2011)
PolyporusLignindegrading enzymes productionLaccase, peroxidaseManganese peroxidaseKum et al. (2011)brumalisenzymes productionperoxidaseSalvachua, et al. (2011)subvermisporaPostia placentaWood decayPolygalacturonase, Beta-D- glucosidaseDuncan and Schilling(2010) Clausen and Green (1996) Green et al. (1995) Micales (1991)PycnoporusDelignificationNRGupta et al. (2011)PycnosporusLignin degradationManganeseperoxidasePycnosporusLignin degradationLaccaseHomma et al. (2007)sanguineusLignoelluloseManganese-oxidizing, production Production of ligninLiers et al. (2011)rugosoannulatadegradationPeroxidasesrugosoannulatatignolyticenzymes production Production of ligninManganese peroxidasesversicolorproduction Production of ligninManganese peroxidasesVoriallaeLignolyticenzymes productionStaszczak et al. (2007) van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al (2008)VoriallaeLignolyticenzymes productionSridhar et al. (2011)volvoraceaeproductionNRSridhar et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)ylariaWooddecayLaccaseManganese- peroxidasesylariaWooddecaycaccaseChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)	sajorcaju	production		V (0011)
Drumatisenzymes productionperoxidasePoriaEthanol productionNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)subvermisporaPostia placentaWood decayPolygalacturonase, Beta-D glucosidaseDuncan and Schilling(2010) Clausen and Green (1996) Green et al. (1995) Micales (1991)PycnoporusDelignificationNRGupta et al. (2011)PycnosporusLigninases productionManganese peroxidases, lignin peroxidaseSharma et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009)StrobilurusLignocelluloseManganese-oxidizing, PeroxidaseLiers et al. (2007) <i>Crametes</i> Lignolytic enzymes production Production of lignin modifying enzymes, DelignificationMarganese peroxidases Laccase, Lignin peroxidaseStaszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al (2008)VoriallaeLignolytic enzymes productionNRSridhar et al. (2011)VoriallaeLignolyticenzymes productionSridhar et al. (2011)VoriallaeLignolyticenzymes productionSridhar et al. (2011)VoriallaeLignolyticenzymes productionSridhar et al. (2011)volvoraceae productionWooddecay decayAccaseChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)polymorphaLignocelluloseLaccaseManganese- decasesChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)	Polyporus	Lignin degrading	Laccase, Manganese	Kum et al. (2011)
PortaEfnanoi productionNRSalvachua, et al. (2011)subvermisporaPostia placentaWood decayPolygalacturonase, Beta-D- glucosidaseDuncan and Schilling(2010) Clausen and Green (1996) Green et al. (1995) Micales (1991)Pycnoporus cinnabarinusDelignificationNRGupta et al. (2011)Pycnosporus sanguineusLigninases productionManganese peroxidases, lignin peroxidaseSharma et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009)Strobilurus ohshimaeLignocelluloseManganese-oxidizing, PeroxidasesLiers et al. (2007)Trametes versicolorLignolytic enzymes production Production of lignin modifying enzymes, DelignificationManganese peroxidases Laccase, Lignin peroxidaseStaszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al (2008)Voriallae volvoraceae production XylariaLignolytic enzymes productionNRSridhar et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignolytic enzymes production productionNRSridhar et al. (2007) Uninguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al (2008)Voriallae volvoraceae productionLignolytic enzymesNRSridhar et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignolytic productionLaccase using peroxidasesChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)PolymorphaLignocelluloseoxidizing peroxidasesChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)	brumalis	enzymes production	peroxidase	
SubvermispordWood decayPolygalacturonase, Beta-D- glucosidaseDuncan and Schilling(2010) Clausen and Green (1996) Green et al. (1995) Micales (1991)Pycnoporus cinnabarinusDelignificationNRGupta et al. (2011)Pycnosporus sanguineusLignin degradationLaccaseHomma et al. (2007)Strobilurus ohshimaeLignocellulose degradationManganese peroxidases lignin peroxidaseSharma et al. (2007)Trametes versicolorLignolytic enzymes production Production of lignin modifying enzymes, DelignificationManganese peroxidases Laccase, Lignin peroxidaseStaszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al. (2008)Voriallae volvoraceae productionLignolytic enzymes productionNRSridhar et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignolytic enzymes productionNRSridhar et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignolytic enzymes productionNRSridhar et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignolytic enzymes productionNRSridhar et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignocelluloseLaccase oxidizing peroxidasesChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)	Porta	Ethanol production	NK	Salvachua, et al. (2011)
Posita placentawood decayPolygalacturonase, Beta-D- glucosidaseDuncan and Schnning(2010) Chatsen and Green (1996) Green et al. (1995) Micales (1991)Pycnoporus cinnabarinusDelignificationNRGupta et al. (2011)Pycnosporus sanguineusLigninases production singuineusManganese peroxidases, lignin peroxidaseSharma et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009)Strobilurus ohshimaeLignocellulose degradationManganese-oxidizing, PeroxidasesLiers et al. (2011)Trametes versicolorLignolytic enzymes production Production of lignin modifying enzymes, DelignificationManganese peroxidases Laccase, Lignin peroxidaseStaszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al (2007) Vinguist, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al. (2008)Voriallae volvoraceae productionLignolytic enzymes productionNRSridhar et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignolytic enzymes productionNRSridhar et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignolytic enzymes productionNRSridhar et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignocelluloseNRSridhar et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignocelluloseLaccase voidizing peroxidasesChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)	subvermispora Dogi a placouta	Wood doory	Delvaslasturenses Data D	Dunsan and Sabilling (2010) Clausan and
Pycnoporus cinnabarinusDelignificationNRGupta et al. (2011)Pycnosporus sanguineusLigninases production lignin degradationManganese peroxidases, 	rosna piacenia	wood decay	rolygalactulollase, Beta-D-	Green (1996) Green et al. (1995) Miceles
Pycnoporus cinnabarinusDelignificationNRGupta et al. (2011)Pycnosporus sanguineusLigninases productionManganese peroxidases, lignin peroxidaseSharma et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009)Strobilurus ohshimaeLignocelluloseLaccaseHomma et al. (2007)Stropharia rugosoannulata versicolorLignolytic enzymes production Production of lignin modifying enzymes, DelignificationManganese peroxidases PeroxidasesLiers et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceae xylariaLignolytic enzymes productionManganese peroxidases LaccaseStaszczak et al. (2007) van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) van Beek et al. (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al (2008)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignolytic enzymes productionNRSridhar et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignolytic enzymes productionNRSridhar et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignolytic enzymes productionNRSridhar et al. (2011)Voriallae volvoraceaeLignolytic enzymes productionLaccase Manganese- oxidizing peroxidasesChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)			glucosluase	(1991)
Pychoporus cinnabarinusLigninases productionMarganese lignin peroxidaseSharma et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009)Pycnosporus sanguineusLignin degradationLaccaseHomma et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009)Strobilurus ohshimaeLignocelluloseManganese-oxidizing, PeroxidasesLiers et al. (2011)Stropharia rugosoannulata versicolorLignolyticenzymes, production Production of lignin modifying enzymes, DelignificationLignolyticenzymes productionVoriallae volvoraceaeLignolyticenzymes productionNRStirichar et al. (2007)Voriallae polymorphaLignocelluloseNRSridhar et al. (2011)Voriallae polymorphaLignocelluloseLaccaseManganese- production of lignin genzymesNRStriata rugosoannulataLignolyticenzymes enzymesNRSridhar et al. (2011)Voriallae polymorphaLignocelluloseLaccaseManganese- productionChaparro et al. (2009)Lignocelluloseoxidizing peroxidasesChaparro et al. (2009)Liers et al. (2011)	Pycnoporus	Delignification	NR	Gunta et al. (2011)
Pycnosporus sanguineusLigninases productionManganese lignin peroxidasePeroxidases, lignin peroxidaseSharma et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009)StrobilurusLignin degradationLaccaseHomma et al. (2007)ohshimaeLignocelluloseManganese-oxidizing, PeroxidasesLiers et al. (2011)strophariaLignocelluloseManganese-oxidizing, PeroxidasesLiers et al. (2011)rugosoannulatadegradationPeroxidasesStaszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al (2011) Gupte et al. (2007) van Beek et al. (2007) Van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al (2008)VoriallaeLignolyticenzymes productionNRVoriallaeLignolyticenzymes productionNRVoriallaeWooddecay productionLaccaseManganese- peroxidasesVoriallaeLignocelluloseLaccaseManganese- peroxidasesVoriallaeLignolyticenzymes productionSridhar et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)volvoraceaeproductionLaccaseManganese- peroxidasesylariaWooddecay urgoncelluloseChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)	cinnabarinus	Denginneauon		
sanguineuslignin peroxidaseStrobilurusLignin degradationLaccaseHomma et al. (2007)ohshimaeStrophariaLignocelluloseManganese-oxidizing, PeroxidasesLiers et al. (2011)rugosoannulatadegradationPeroxidasesStaszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al (2011) Gupte et al. (2007) van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al (2008)VoriallaeLignolyticenzymes productionNRVoriallaeLignolyticenzymes productionNRVoriallaeUignocelluloseLaccaseManganese- peroxidasesVoriallaeLignolyticenzymes productionNRVoriallaeLignolyticenzymes productionChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)volvoraceaeproductionLaccaseManganese- peroxidasesylariaWooddecay usidizing peroxidasesChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)	Pvcnosporus	Ligninases production	Manganese peroxidases.	Sharma et al. (2011) Gomes et al. (2009)
StrobilurusLignin degradationLaccaseHomma et al. (2007)ohshimaeStrophariaLignocelluloseManganese-oxidizing, PeroxidasesLiers et al. (2011)rugosoannulatadegradationPeroxidasesStaszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al (2011) Gupte et al. (2007) van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al (2008)VoriallaeLignolyticenzymes productionNRVoriallaeLignolyticenzymes productionNRVoriallaeLignolyticenzymes productionSridhar et al. (2011)volvoraceae polymorphaWooddecay LaccaseLaccaseManganese- peroxidasesLignocelluloseoxidizing peroxidasesChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)	sanguineus	6 1	lignin peroxidase	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
ohshimaeLignocelluloseManganese-oxidizing, PeroxidasesLiers et al. (2011)rugosoannulatadegradationPeroxidasesStaszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al (2011) Gupte et al. (2007) van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al (2008)VoriallaeLignolyticenzymesNRSridhar et al. (2011)VoriallaeLignolyticenzymesNRSridhar et al. (2011)volvoraceaeproductionLaccaseManganese-Chaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)ylariaWooddecayLaccaseManganese-Chaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)	Strobilurus	Lignin degradation	Laccase	Homma et al. (2007)
StrophariaLignocelluloseManganese-oxidizing, PeroxidasesLiers et al. (2011)rugosoannulatadegradationPeroxidasesTrametesLignolytic enzymes production Production of lignin modifying enzymes, DelignificationManganese peroxidases Laccase, Lignin peroxidaseStaszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al (2011) Gupte et al. (2007) van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al (2008)VoriallaeLignolytic enzymes productionNRSridhar et al. (2011)Volvoraceae polymorphaWood LignocelluloseLaccase Manganese-	ohshimae	0 0		
rugosoannulatadegradationPeroxidasesTrametesLignolyticenzymesManganeseperoxidasesversicolorproduction Production of ligninManganeseperoxidasesStaszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al (2011) Gupte et al. (2007) van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al (2008)VoriallaeLignolyticenzymes productionNRSridhar et al. (2011)volvoraceaeproductionLaccaseManganese- oxidizing peroxidasesChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)polymorphaLignocellulosevaidizing peroxidasesChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)	Stropharia	Lignocellulose	Manganese-oxidizing,	Liers et al. (2011)
TrametesLignolyticenzymesManganeseperoxidasesStaszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et alversicolorproduction Production of ligninLaccase, Lignin peroxidase(2011) Gupte et al. (2007) van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al (2008)VoriallaeLignolyticenzymesNRSridhar et al. (2011)volvoraceaeproductionkaccaseManganese- productionChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)XylariaWooddecayLaccaseManganese- oxidizing peroxidasesChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)	rugosoannulata	degradation	Peroxidases	
versicolorproduction Production of lignin modifying enzymes, DelignificationLaccase, Lignin peroxidase (2011) Gupte et al. (2007) van Beek et al. (2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al (2008)VoriallaeLignolytic productionNRSridhar et al. (2011)VoriallaeWood decay LignocelluloseLaccase Manganese- oxidizing peroxidasesChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)	Trametes	Lignolytic enzymes	Manganese peroxidases	Staszczak et al. (2011) Shrivastava et al
lignin enzymes, Delignification(2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al (2008)Voriallae volvoraceae xylariaLignolytic productionenzymes NRSridhar et al. (2011)Xylaria polymorphaWood LignocelluloseLaccase oxidizing peroxidasesMaganese- Chaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)	versicolor	production Production of	Laccase, Lignin peroxidase	(2011) Gupte et al. (2007) van Beek et al.
enzymes, Delignificationand Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al. (2007) Winquist, et al. (2008)VoriallaeLignolytic enzymesNRSridhar et al. (2011)volvoraceaeproductionSridhar et al. (2011)LignocelluloseChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)polymorphaLignocelluloseoxidizing peroxidasesChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)		lignin modifying		(2007) Jing, et al. (2007) Thiruchelvam
VoriallaeLignolyticenzymesNR(2007) Winquist, et al. (2008)volvoraceaeproductionSridhar et al. (2011)XylariaWooddecayLaccaseManganese-polymorphaLignocelluloseoxidizing peroxidasesChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)		enzymes, Delignification		and Ramsay (2007) Dominguez et al.
VoriallaeLignolyticenzymesNRSridhar et al. (2011)volvoraceaeproductionXylariaWooddecayLaccaseManganese-Chaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)polymorphaLignocelluloseoxidizing peroxidasesChaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)				(2007) Winquist, et al (2008)
volvoraceaeproductionXylariaWooddecayLaccaseManganese-Chaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)polymorphaLignocelluloseoxidizing peroxidases	Voriallae	Lignolytic enzymes	NR	Sridhar et al. (2011)
XylariaWooddecayLaccaseManganese-Chaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)polymorphaLignocelluloseoxidizing peroxidases	volvoraceae	production		
polymorpha Lignocellulose oxidizing peroxidases	Xylaria	Wood decay	Laccase Manganese-	Chaparro et al. (2009) Liers et al. (2011)
$1 \dots 1$	polymorpha	Lignocellulose	oxidizing peroxidases	

NR= Not reported

From the previous review we obtained some strains from the Culture Collection at the Center for Forest Mycology Research of the Forest Product Laboratory, Madison – Wisconsin.

1.2.3 Coffee Processing

In the review of Mazzafera (2002) coffee fruits can be processed by two ways, the wet or dry process. The majority of coffee farms use the wet method, in which the fruits are pulped, fermented, washed and sun dried to obtain the coffee seeds (Figure 3).



Figure 3 Coffee processing methods. Source: http://www.coffee-ota.org/grafico_en.htm

The pulping process removes the exocarp (outermost layer or peel), mesocarp (the middle layer or pith), and endocarp (inner layer that surrounds the seed) resulting in coffee pulp (Figure 4), this represents the most abundant waste. Coffee pulp is barely used and it is considered the most abundant pollutant material of lakes and rivers located nearby coffee processing farms (Penaloza et al. 1985).



Figure 4 Coffee pulp A Coffee fruit (http://www.vibrantbeautynetwork.com) B Coffee fruit parts (http://farmextensionmanager.com); and C. Fresh coffee pulp (Photo by María del Pilar Sierra).

The waste generated in large quantities during wet method (Figure 5) is composed generally of cellulose, galactomannan and pectin and mainly used for producing compost. However, application of untreated coffee residue to soil inhibits the growth of crops (Fujii and Takeshi 2007). Reports of compositional analyses of coffee pulp have been published (see Table 2) concluding that this agro-industrial residues represent a good source of carbohydrates and sugars that can be used as nutritional supplement for animal feed and others.



Figure 5 Coffee pulp wastes after harvesting season (Photo by Nolberto Figueroa).

Authors have reported that the utilization of coffee pulp is limited by its content of anti-physiological (caffeine) and anti-nutritional (tannins and polyphenols) (Nava et al. 2006).

Components	Percentage (%)	References
Water	77	Braham and Bressani (1979)
Dry matter	23.3	Braham and Bressani (1979)
Ether extract	0.48	Braham and Bressani (1979)
Fiber	3.4, 18	Braham and Bressani (1979), Orozco et al. (2008)
Protein	2.1, 8.25, 9.2, 10	Braham and Bressani (1979), Roussos et al. (1994)
		Mazzafera (2002), Orozco et al. (2008)
Ash	1.5	Braham and Bressani (1979)
N free extract	15.8	Braham and Bressani (1979)
Tannins	1.80-8.56, 4.5	Braham and Bressani (1979) Mazzafera (2002)
Pectins	6.5, 12.4	Braham and Bressani (1979) Mazzafera (2002)
Reducing sugars	12.4, 23-27	Braham and Bressani (1979) Roussos et al. (1994)
Non reducing sugars	2.0	Braham and Bressani (1979)
Caffeine	1.3, 0.33	Braham and Bressani (1979) Mazzafera (2002)
		Molina et al. (1974)
Chlorogenic acid	2.6	Braham and Bressani (1979)
Caffeic acid	1.6	Braham and Bressani (1979)
Carbohydrates	57.8, 50	Mazzafera (2002), Orozco et al. (2008)
Fat	2, 2.5	Mazzafera (2002), Orozco et al. (2008)
Lignin	38.6	Preethu et al. (2007)
Cellulose	29.4	Preethu et al. (2007)

Table 2 Coffee pulp composition

1.2.4 Degradation of pollutants of agro industrial residues

During the processing of vegetable matter (e.g. sugar cane, coffee, wheat, corn, rice, etc) huge quantities of solid organic residues are generated. These wastes are structure-less and have high water content (80%). Composting is the most common management approach used, but it represents an environmental issue (Weiland 1993).

In the case of coffee residues, an economical way of dealing with the excessive amount of pulp and solving the environmental problem would be to use it for animal feed. However, chemical components

such as lignin, phenolics and caffeine hamper it utilization by animals. Caffeine and phenolics are known to exert detrimental effects to both the rumen microflora and the host animal (Gadd 2001).

Caffeine (1,3,7-trimethylxanthine) is a natural substance present in leaves, seeds or fruits of over 63 plant species, and belong to a group of compounds known as methylxanthines (Mumin et al. 2006). Caffeine is a powerful stimulant and can be toxic to animals due to its high nitrogen concentration and its diuretic effect. In some cases if this compound is present in animal nutrition the consequence could be a low feed intake (Brand et al. 2002).

There are many methods of caffeine removal, including water decaffeination, solvent extraction and supercritical carbon dioxide extraction (Figure 6).



Figure 6 Diagram of various chemical methods for caffeine removal (Gokulakrishnan et al. 2005)

Also, conventional chemical methods of decaffeination usually involve the use of agents such as methylene chloride, ethyl acetate, charcoal or carbon and triglycerides (Sarath Babu et al. 2005).

Chemical methods for caffeine removal are more effective than non-chemical methods. However, these methods have disadvantages such as the removal of other beneficial compounds (e.g. vitamins, proteins). Additional disadvantages include higher technological demands, expensive process components, (e.g. solvents), and adverse environmental impact (Gokulakrishnan et al. 2005).

1.2.5 Caffeine detoxification by biological methods

In recent years there has been an increased tendency toward the biotransformation of agro-industrial wastes. Many bioprocesses are focused in obtaining commercial products such as ethanol, mushrooms, enzymes, organic acids, amino acids, etc. These processes provide new alternatives to solve pollution problems due to the irresponsible management from processing farms (Brand et al. 2000)

Different pathways to caffeine degradation had been proposed. Some of them conclude that these depend on microorganisms, for instance, in the degradation by demethylation using the theophylline pathway (Figure 7).



Figure 7 Caffeine degradation pathway by *Rhizopus delemar* (Reproduced from Tagliari et al. 2003)

However, in the case of bacteria, alternative pathways through theophylline and theobromine have been described. Yu et al. (2009) evaluted two pathways for metabolism of caffeine using *Pseudomonas putida* isolated from soil samples. The study showed that soil bacteria consumed 2.5 g 1^{-1} in 8 days using caffeine as sole carbon and nitrogen source. When caffeine was added to the cell suspension theobromine, 7 methylxanthine, xanthine and uric acid were detected, suggesting that caffeine is degraded by the N-

demethylation pathway. Theophylline (1,3-dimethylxanthine) was metabolized to three metabolites 1, 3dimethyluric acid, 1-methyluric acid and 3-methyluric acid (Figure 8).



Figure 8 Demethylation pathways by bacteria **A** Theophylline pathway; **B**. Theobromine pathway (Reproduced from Yu et al. 2009).

1.2.6 Previous works with coffee pulp and caffeine degradation

There have been ample reports describing the composition, conservation, upgrading and utilization of coffee pulp. Several important studies in bacteria and fungi are mentioned in the table below.

Reference	Research topic
Penaloza et al. (1985)	Nutritive improvement of coffee pulp under Solid State Fermentation (SSF) using <i>A. niger</i> , the fermented product had a higher aminoacid content
Roussos et al. (1994)	Effect of inorganic and organic nitrogen source in decaffeination process using <i>Penicillium vertucosum</i> .
Roussos et al. (1995)	Isolation of caffeine degrading fungi belonged to the genera Aspergillus, <i>Penicillium</i> , <i>Trichoderma</i> , <i>Fusarium</i> , and <i>Humicola</i> . Five Aspergillus strains could degrade almost 100% of caffeine in liquid media.
Mazafera et al. (1996)	Caffeine degradation by <i>Serratia marcences</i> , using 1200 ppm caffeine is toxic and inhibit bacteria growth.
Hakil et al. (1998)	Caffeine degradation by <i>Aspergillus tamarii</i> , <i>Penicillium commune</i> , <i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> , and <i>Aspergillus niger</i> using caffeine as sole source of nitrogen. The highest degradation percentages were 67.2 and 67.7 for <i>A. tamarii</i> and <i>P. commune</i> respectively
Denis et al. (1998)	New analytical method of methylxanthines quantification by HPLC using <i>Aspergillus sp</i> strain to identify the caffeine metabolism suggesting the theophylline pathway in 140 h.
Yamoka-Yano et al. (1999)	Evaluation of strains of <i>Pseudomonas</i> , which showed a higher ability to grow on caffeine (20 g/L- 50 g/L). and characterization of xanthine oxidase, responsible of methylxanthines and xanthine oxidation during caffeine degradation.
Brand et al. (2000)	Detoxification of coffee husk in solid state fermentation using <i>Rhizopus</i> , <i>Phanerochaete</i> , and <i>Aspergillus</i> which showed 92% of caffeine degradation
Odafe et al. (2001)	Caffeine demethylases enzymes using <i>Pseudomonas putida</i> which is able to use caffeine as carbon and nitrogen source to convert caffeine to uric acid
Brand et al. (2002)	A solid state fermentation carried out in a packed bed column fermenter using coffee pulp as substrate, achieved 90 % of caffeine degradation using <i>Aspergillus</i> strain
Ulloa Rojas et al. (2003)	Evaluation of nutritive value of coffee pulp after a degradation period of 21-28 days for monogastric animals using <i>Aspergillus</i> and <i>Bacillus</i> sp.
Tagliari et al. (2003)	Evaluation of caffeine degradation using <i>Rhizopus delemar</i> in a packed bed reactor with coffee pulp as substrate. The degration pathway suggested was theophylline
Sarath Babu et al. (2005)	Caffeine degradation using <i>Pseudomonas alcaligenes</i> , which was able of completely degrading caffeine (1g/L) within 4-6 hours in a pH range of 7.0-8.0

Table 3 Previous reports on caffeine degradation

Table 3 (continued)

Reference	Research topic
Nava et al. (2006)	Effect of substrate thermal treatment, and incubation temperature using <i>Penicillium commune</i> on coffee pulp by solid state fermentation
Fujii and Takeshi (2007)	Microbial degradation of agricultural residues using strains capable to degrade cellulose and pectin to produce enzymes cellulases and pectinase.
Gummandi et al. (2007)	Effect of glucose on caffeine degradation using <i>Pseudomonas sp.</i> Caffeine degradation was inhibited with glucose. The inhibition effect was not seen using sucrose and lactose
Dash et al. (2008)	Assay for demethylases activity, which unraveled the inducible nature of methylxanthine degrading activity in <i>Pseudomonas sp</i>
Gummandi and Santhosh (2010)	Effect of various caffeine concentrations on caffeine demethylases production by <i>Pseudomonas sp</i> using a bioreactor.the enzyme reported was N-demethylase obtaining a 100% of degradation

1.3 Objectives

1.3.1 Primary Objective

Agro-industrial waste management need to be addressed with concern, in particular coffee pulp which is considered to be one of the most abundant agricultural wastes in Puerto Rico, as well as one of the hardest to handle. To date, most of the by-product remains unused, causing contamination problems. Biological pretreatment could, however, improve the value of this waste product. The presence of proteins, sugars and minerals in coffee pulp and its high humidity favor the rapid growth of microorganisms (Gadd 2001). This project intends to evaluate microorganisms able to degrade caffeine present in coffee pulp residues. The use of coffee pulp is restricted by the high content of fiber and by the presence of antinutritional factors such as phenols, tannins and caffeine, which interfere with food intake and nutrient absorption. To improve its nutritive value for animal feed is necessary to apply biological treatments, to increase the protein content and reduce the fiber or cellulose in the final product (Ulloa Rojas et al. 2003).

1.3.2 Specific Objectives

1.3.2.1 Identify candidate strains

Since one of the main challenges for the reutilization of coffee- processing waste is the presence of lignin, a collection of thirteen filamentous fungi with the ability to degrade/inhabit lignocellulose was obtained from the Mycology Collection at the Forest Products Laboratory in Madison, Wisconsin. These candidates were grown on solid medium with increasing concentrations of caffeine, ranging from 0.5, 1.0, and 2% caffeine. The cultures were scored based on confluent growth with respect to control plates.

1.3.2.2 Assessment of caffeine detoxification by candidate strains in a purified system.

Candidate strains showing confluent growth on plates were ground in a Waring blender and diluted in a completely defined minimum liquid medium, which contain 0.1% of caffeine. This mixture was aliquoted into 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks, covered with aluminum foil, and was incubated under stationary and shaking conditions at appropriate temperatures. Samples incubated were harvested and cell-free extracts will be tested for caffeine concentration using HPLC.

1.3.2.3 Assessment of caffeine detoxification upon growth of candidate strains under solid-state fermentation (SSF) conditions.

A fresh inoculum from a candidate strain was maintained in malt agar and transferred to a sterile coffee pulp extract agar plate and incubated at the appropriate temperature until confluence was obtained. Confluent plates were ground in a Waring blender and diluted in a completely defined minimum liquid medium. A portion of this suspension was used to inoculate coffee pulp. Dried pulp was weighed, aliquot into 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks, covered with aluminum foil, and sterilized with steam and pressure in an autoclave. After the sterile coffee pulp aliquots were inoculated, they were incubated stationary at appropriate temperatures. After fermentation time samples of coffee pulp (SmSSF) were harvested and the liquid extracted was tested for caffeine concentration using HPLC.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Identify candidate strains

2.1.1 Screening

2.1.2.1 Microorganisms and media

Strains obtained from the Culture Collection at the Center for Forest Mycology Research of the Forest Product Laboratory, Madison - Wisconsin (Table 4), were inoculated in a rich media YMPG with different concentrations of caffeine (0.2, 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 %). Candidate strains were selected because of their ability to grow in the presence of caffeine, and the strains were incubated at 25, 30 and 37 °C during four weeks. *Aspergillus tamarii* (NRRL 559) strain was obtained from the Mycology Laboratory at UPR-Mayagüez and was used as positive control due their ability as caffeine degrader reported in the literature (Gutierrez-Sanchez et al. 2003).

ID Collection	Strain
Mad-617-R	Gloeophyllum trabeum
FP-101798 sp	Pleurotus ostreatus
BKM- F- 1767	Phanerochaete chrysosporium
VAG-1005-04	Alternaria alternata
ATCC-6205	Chaetomium globosum
FS-33	Microsphaeropsis sp.
VY-8-1	Phialocephala dimorphospora
Wilcox-113	Lecythophora hoffmannii
FP-90031-Sp	Ceriporiopsis subvermispora
MUCL- 28295	Xylaria polymorpha
FP- 140074	Daldinia concentrica
Mad-698-R	Postia placenta
Y115	Chaetomium globosum

 Table 4 Ligniculous fungi from the culture collection (CFMR) of the Forest Product Laboratory (FPL)

2.2 Assessment of caffeine detoxification by candidate strain in a purified system

The selected strain was maintained on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) and induced on Coffee Pulp Extract (CPE) agar until complete growth. CPE medium was prepared as follows (g /l): an infusion was prepared with Coffee Pulp Fresh (40); supplemented as described by (Gutierrez-Sanchez et al. 2003) sucrose (2.0), KH₂PO₄ (1.3), Na₂HPO₄ (0.19), MgSO₄ 7.H₂O (0.15) and CaCl₂ (0.2) were dissolved in the coffee infusion. The pH was adjusted to 5.6 and the volume was brought to 1 l. Agar (20 g) was added and the medium was sterilized at 121°C for 30 min. The candidate strain was inoculated and incubated at 25 C during five to seven days.

2.2.1 Liquid minimal media

2.2.1.1 Liquid media preparation

A master mix was prepared as follows. A plate with the candidate strain was mixed with a minimal media containing (g /l): 20 ml of Salt Solution consisting of (g/l): KH_2PO_4 (76), MgSO4. 7 H₂O (26), KCl (26); and 10 ml of a trace element solution consisting of (g/l): FeSO₄ (0.8), ZnSO₄ (8), MnSO₄ (0.8), Na₂MoO₄.2H₂O (0.8), CuSO₄.5H₂O (0.4). The media was supplemented with caffeine (1 g l⁻¹), and the pH was adjusted to 4 with HCl. The liquid media was sterilized at 121°C for 30 min.

In a similar manner a liquid minimal media was prepared as describe above supplemented with caffeine (1 g l^{-1}) and sucrose (25 g l^{-1}).

2.2.1.2 Stationary culture assay

Erlenmeyer flasks containing 10 ml of master mix were incubated at 25 °C until the formation of a mycelial mat was observed. Samples were taken periodically to perform analytical assays and determine the percentage of caffeine degradation in triplicate for an incubation period of ten weeks. This assay was conducted using both media mention in section 2.2.1.1.

2.2.1.3 Shaking culture assay

Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 ml of master mix were incubated at 25 °C in an orbital shaker at 110 RPM. Samples were taken periodically to perform analytical assays to determine the percentage of

caffeine degradation in triplicate. The incubation time was six weeks. This assay was conducted with minimal media supplemented with caffeine and sucrose.

2.2.2 Caffeine determination in purified system

2.2.2.1 Sample Preparation

Flasks containing the minimal media inoculated and incubated were vacuum filtered using a Whatman #44 filter paper. The flasks were washed with water (10-12 ml) (Figure 9). The filtered solutions were placed into tubes and storage at -20°C until analysis. The filters were placed in an oven at 98-100°C during 24 h. Dry samples were stored in a desiccator until samples were weighed.



Figure 9 Filtration minimal media Alternaria alternata stationary method at 25°C (Day 69); Photo by María del Pilar Sierra-Gómez

2.2.2.2 Solid Phase Extraction

To concentrate the sample and to avoid chromatographic interference from mycelia or medium components, the sample was adsorbed onto SPE cartridges packed with a C18-functionalized resin (Grace Davison Discovery Science, Deerfield, IL)(Figure10). Manufacturer instructions were followed for use and conditioning of the cartridges. Caffeine was eluted with methanol (100%) HPLC grade (Carlin-Sinclair et al. 2009); samples were diluted (1/10), (1/100), (1/1000) to avoid the saturation into the HPLC column.



Figure 10 Solid phase extraction (Cartridge C18) Photo by María del Pilar Sierra-Gómez

2.2.2.3 High Performance Liquid Chromatography

A High Performance Liquid Chromatograph composed of: Binary HPLC pump, (model Waters 1525), auto sampler (Waters model 717 plus), Ultraviolet detector (Waters, Model 2487), Column Symmetry Shield TM RP₁₈5 μ m (4.6 x 250mm) at 25°C, and software Breeze 2 was used. An isocratic method using methanol: water (30:70) as mobile phase was used at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. Detection was at 254 nm (Tagliari et al. 2003) Caffeine 99.7% was purchased from Alfa Aesar (Ward Hill, MA), Methanol HPLC Grade, Theophylline, 3-methylxanthine, and xanthine were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Mo) and theobromine from Acros Organics (New Jersey, USA), sample solutions were injected in triplicate and the retention times and concentrations of caffeine in each sample were compared to those of standards in a calibration curve including dilution factors.

2.3 Assessment of caffeine detoxification upon growth of candidate strains under Submerged Solid State Fermentation (SmSSF) conditions.

2.3.1 Coffee pulp

Coffee pulp (*Coffea arabica*) was collected from the coffee processing plant Hacienda Café San Pedro located in Jayuya-Puerto Rico at early season (November, 2010). This material was used for identification of filamentous fungi and as substrate for solid-state fermentation experiments.

2.3.1.1 Biological Characterization: Isolation and identification of filamentous fungi from coffee pulp 2.3.1.1.1 Isolation

Ten grams of coffee pulp added to ninety milliliter of distilled water after mixing at 170 rpm for 10 min were used in perform serial dilutions to isolate the microorganisms. The media used to allow spore production were water agar [WA, (20 g l⁻¹) agar] and Coffee Pulp Extract (CPE). The culture medium CPE was prepared as follows: forty grams of coffee pulp was ground and boiled in one liter double distilled water during ten minutes. This solution was filtered (Filter paper Whatman #1) and adjusted to one liter and mixed with KH₂PO₄ 1.3, Na₂HPO₄ 0.12, MgSO₄ 0.3, CaCl₂ 0.3 and agar 15 (Gaime-Perraud et al. 1993). Cultures were incubated and monitored in three temperatures (25, 30 and 37 °C) during 24-48 h necessary to harvest the spores. Then, the spores were inoculated in Potato-Dextrose-Agar (PDA, Difco-Fisher Scientific) at 25, 30 and 37 °C during 5-7 days or until colonies from one spore were observed.

2.2.1.2 Identification of filamentous fungi

The slide culture technique to identify fungi was performed using the methodology described by Riddell (1950) as follows. One PDA plate was divided in squares (1x1 cm) then; each square was placed over the slide, which was inside of glass Petri dish. The isolated strains were inoculated in the PDA squares and were covered with a cover glass. The wet mountings were incubated to 25, 30 and 37 °C for 7-14 days. After, incubation time, flat glass slides were prepared placing one droplet of lactophenol cottonblue on each. Then, the cover glass slip from the wet mount was placed over the droplet, and

observed under the microscope (Olympus CH). Fungi were identified at the genus level using descriptions on Barnett and Hunter (1986) for imperfect fungi.

2.3.1.2 Physical-chemical Characterization

2.3.1.2.1 Moisture content

Five grams of sample were placed in the pan (weighed previously) and weighed accurately. Then, the sample was placed in an oven at 98 - 100°C for 24 h. Dry samples were stored in a desiccator until samples were weighed. Percentage moisture (wt/wt) was calculated as described below.

% moisture =
$$(wt of wet sample+ pan) - (wt of dried sample+ pan) \times 100$$
 Equation 1
(wt of wet sample + pan) - (wt of pan)

2.3.1.2.2 Nitrogen content

I. Digestion

Approximately 0.1 g coffee pulp dry was weighted. Then, coffee pulp was placed into digestion tube, adding a catalyst and appropriate volume (e.g., 7 ml) of concentrated sulfuric acid to each tube with coffee pulp. The rack of digestion tubes was placed on a digestion block which was place in the fume hood. Samples were digested completely. Samples were taken off the digestion block and were cooled. Carefully, samples were diluted with an appropriate volume of distilled-deionized water, and well mixed (See figure 11).



Figure 11 Nitrogen content (sample digestion)

II. Distillation

An appropriate volume of boric acid solution was added into the receiving flask, which was placed on the distillation system. Sample tubes were placed in the distillation equipment making sure it was seated securely and proceeds with the distillation until completed. In this distillation process, a set volume of NaOH solution was delivered to the tube and a steam generator was distilled the sample for a set period of time. Upon completing distillation of one sample, the procedure was repeated with a new sample tube and receiving flask (Figure 12).



Figure 12 Nitrogen content (sample distillation)

III. Titration

Using a standardized HCl solution each sample and blank was titrated to the first faint gray color. Use HCl volume was recorded and used for N determination.

% Nitrogen = <u>Normality of HCl* [(ml acid for sample)- (ml acid for blank)]</u>	
g of sample	Equation 2

% Protein = % Nitrogen * Protein factor (6.25)

Equation 3

2.3.1.2.3 pH

The procedure used to determinate the pH was according to the Official method AOAC 981.12 (AOAC, 1990)

2.3.2 Submerged Solid State Fermentation (SmSSF)

Based on the results from the screening tests, the candidate strain was mixed with a solution enriched with salts and trace components. Coffee pulp was used as carbon and nitrogen sources.

2.3.2.1 Inoculum preparation

The candidate strain was maintained on PDA and inoculated on Coffee Pulp Extract agar described above. The candidate strain was incubated at 25 °C during five to seven day.

2.3.2.2 Substrate (Coffee pulp) preparation

Coffee pulp was dried in an oven at 100 °C during 24 hours, milled and sieved to obtain fractions between 0.8 - 2.0 mm particle size (Figure 13).



Figure 13 Particle size Photo by Erick N Zorrilla

2.3.2.3 Sample preparation

The candidate strain-CPE agar was blended with a solution containing 10 ml of salt solution, and sucrose (2 g 1^{-1}) was added into 2.0 g of coffee pulp in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks. This experiment was conducted with six replicates. Flasks were incubated at 25°C, for a period of 20 days. Samples from 0, 3, 6, 10, 13, and 20 days, for each sampling day flasks were placed in a water bath at 80-90°C for 30 min, then, each flask was washed with hot water (10-12ml) and filtered using Whatman#2 filter paper (weighted accurately) to calculate the dry weight (Figure 14) The filtered solution was placed into tubes and storage at -20°C until further analysis.


Figure 14 SmSSF with *Alternaria alternata* (sample preparation) Left: Flask after bath water and hot water addition (Day 3). Right: coffee pulp filtered (Day 3). Photos by María del Pilar Sierra-Gómez

2.3.2.4 Dry weight

Coffee pulp inoculated was placed in the filter (weighed accurately previously). Then, the sample was placed in an oven at 98-100°C for 24 h. Dried samples were stored in a desiccator until samples were weighted.

2.3.2.5 Ergosterol determination

Ergosterol determination was adapted from S. Gors et al. (2007) as described below.

2.3.2.5.1 Ergosterol extraction

0.5grams of each dried fermented replicate of coffee pulp was refluxed in 50 ml of methanol (100%) for 2 h. 25 ml of methanolic KOH solution 0.2 M was added and refluxed for 30 min. Subsequently, the sample was transferred to centrifuge tubes and two extractions were conducted with n-pentane (1.5 ml each) under rigorous shaking using a vortex. One ml of distillated deionized water was added to the extract (Figure15).



Figure 15 Ergosterol extraction Photos by Erick N. Zorrilla

Afterwards, the extract was centrifuged for 2 min at 6200 rpm. Non polar phase was transferred into micro tubes and evaporated in an air stream (Figure 16). Extracts were storage at -20°C for HPLC analysis.



Figure 16 Ergosterol extracted and dried Photo by Erick N. Zorrilla

2.3.2.5.2 Ergosterol analysis by HPLC

Dried extracts were dissolved in (0.5-1 ml) methanol 100% by sonification for 5 min. Tubes were centrifuged at 7200 rpm for 2 min. Supernatant was placed into the vials to proceed with the quantification.

HPLC- Waters and column described in the section 2.3.2.3 were used for ergosterol determination, under isocratic conditions, methanol 100% was used as mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.8 ml min⁻¹, at 25°C, and 282nm by injection of 20 μ l sample. Calibration was conducted with an external standard of ergosterol purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Mo).

2.3.2.6 Caffeine determination in coffee pulp from SmSSF

Caffeine determination in coffee pulp from SmSSF, was conducted as describe in sections 2.2.2.2 and 2.2.2.3. A few modifications were done to the original procedure, including a filtration before passing the

sample through the SPE cartridge to avoid clogging the cartridge by any solid in the extract from SmSSF of coffee pulp.

2.4 Statistics

The data is presented as average values \pm standard error of the mean. Analysis of variance was performed using statistical software MiniTab14 to compare the degradation activity by the candidate strain during the incubation time.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Identify Candidate strains

3.1.1 Screening

Table 5 shows some fungal strains from CFMR-FPL collection, which were grown under 25°C, 30°C, and 37°C using a Yeast Malt Peptone Glucose Agar (YMPG) with the addition of different caffeine concentrations; the concentration range was chosen based on the caffeine content in coffee pulp reported previously, between 0.5 to 1.5%.

Table 5 Fungal screening from FPL collection under different temperatures and caffeine concentrations

	25°C Caffeine (%)			30°C Caffeine (%)				37°C				
Strains								Caffeine (%)				
	0.0	0.5	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.5	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.5	1.0	2.0
Alternaria alternata	+	+	+	±	+	+	±	-	±	-	-	-
Ceriporiopsis subvermispora	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Chaetomium globosum	+	+	±	-	+	-	-	-	±	-	-	-
Daldinia concentrica	+	±	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Gloeophyllum trabeum	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Lecythophora hoffmannii	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Microsphaeropsis sp	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	±	-	-	-
Phanerochaete chrysosporium	+	±	±	-	+	±	-	-	-	-	-	-
Phialocephala dimorphospora	+	±	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Postia placenta	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Xylaria polymorpha	+	+	±	-	+	±	-	-	+	-	-	-

(++) Abundant growth; (+) Moderate growth; (±) Poor growth; (--) No growth

The effect of temperature and caffeine concentration for *Alternaria alternata* is shown in Figure 17. The growth was determined based on colony diameter and by comparison with control. The highest growth occurred at 25°C. At 30°C the growth observed was fair and at 37°C no growth was observed in the presence of caffeine indicating that the ideal temperature for the filamentous fungi tested was between (25- 30 °C). In terms of caffeine concentration, the inhibitory concentration was 2.0 % and the concentration where the fungi showed better growth was 0.5%.



Figure 17 Alternaria alternata under different temperatures and caffeine concentrations A (0.5% Caffeine); B (1.0% Caffeine); C (2.0% Caffeine)

After evaluation of our screening results, after incubation time of four weeks, we can highlight four strains *Alternaria alternata*, *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*, *Chaetomium globosum* and *Xylaria polymorpha* which exhibited significant growth in caffeine concentrations similar to those found in coffee pulp (0.30- 1.6%).

Alternaria alternata grew on YMPG-caffeine 2.0% (\pm) in small proportion. For *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*, *Chaetomium globosum* and *Xylaria polymorpha* the highest growth was 1.0% (\pm), but, in a small proportion.

Based on these results *Alternaria alternata* was selected as a candidate strain for decaffeination studies in coffee pulp and was further used to conduct the experiments developed in this study.

3.2 Assessment of Caffeine Detoxification by Candidate Strain in a Purified System

Figure 18 illustrates the growth of *Alternaria alternata* in presence of different concentrations of carbon and nitrogen source using minimal media. Here the carbon source was glucose and nitrogen source was asparagine. Under these conditions mat formation was observed when carbon and nitrogen sources were in ideal proportions (C 2%, N: 1.0%).



Figure 18 Alternaria alternata growing at different concentration of carbon and nitrogen sources. Left to the right: (C: 2%, N: 1%), (C: 1.5%, N: 0.75%), (C: 1.0 %, N: 0.5%), (C: 0.5%, N: 0.25%), and (C: 0%, N: 0%). Photos by María del Pilar Sierra-Gómez

3.2.1 Liquid minimal media (caffeine) stationary culture assay

Alternaria alternate, the candidate strain selected from our initial screening for further study, was grown in liquid media in a purified completely defined system with caffeine $1g l^{-1}$. During the incubation period (12 weeks), the candidate strain showed poor growth (weak structure, limited filamentous formation) because of nutrients limitation. Figure 19 shows the minimal media appearance on day 14, was similar throughout the whole incubation period.



Figure 19 Alternaria alternata minimal media supplemented with caffeine (day 14)

Media containing caffeine as a sole carbon and nitrogen source seemed to inhibit the growth of the fungus and the caffeine degradation observed lagged on day 69. At the end of the incubation time a caffeine content of 566 \pm 41.16 (mg l⁻¹) was determined. This amount represented near 45.33% degradation, as shown in figure 20. Caffeine concentration was calculated using a calibration curve (y=31603x + 9221).



Figure 20 Caffeine concentration using *Alternaria alternata* In a minimal media (purified system) with caffeine

To assess the detoxification capabilities of *A. alternata*, we included in our study the fungus *Aspergillus tamarii* as a positive control since it has been reported in the literature as a caffeine detoxifier (Gutierrez-Sanchez et al. 2003). Both were evaluated under the same conditions in terms of maintenance media, temperature, and volume of inoculum. Figure 21 shows the caffeine concentration for the candidate strain *Alternata alternata* and *Aspergillus tamarii*.



Figure 21 Caffeine concentration using minimal media (caffeine) at 25°C stationary *Alternaria alternata* (-**-**-) and *Aspergillus tamarii* (-**-**-)

In the initial caffeine concentration was observed a difference which was related to caffeine residual from the induction media CPE.

Aspergillus tamarii produced a mild caffeine degradation on day 69; a caffeine amount of $1050.28 \pm 45.58 \text{ (mg l}^{-1)}$ was determined, representing 11.13% degradation, which means that under these specific conditions *Aspergillus tamarii* is not an effective degrader.

3.2.2 Liquid minimal media (caffeine-sucrose) stationary culture assay

Figure 22 presented the two strains involved in this research growing in a purified system with caffeine and sucrose.



Figure 22 Appearance of liquid minimal media (caffeine-sucrose) after incubation Left: *Alternaria alternata*, right: *Aspergillus tamarii* both growing at 25 °C. Photos by María del Pilar Sierra-Gómez

Figure 23 shows caffeine degradation supplemented by sucrose as carbon source. A decrease in caffeine concentration (increase of caffeine degradation) was observed to when caffeine was used as a sole carbon and nitrogen source. For instance, *A. alternata* showed caffeine content of $437 \pm 29.20 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ on day 69, which represents 60.92 % caffeine degradation. For *A. tamarii* on day 69, caffeine content was 1056.83 ± 62.23 mg l⁻¹ representing 12.05% of degradation.



Figure 23 Caffeine degradation using liquid minimal media (caffeine-sucrose) Caffeine (1 g l^{-1}) and sucrose (25 g l^{-1}) under stationary method inoculated at 25°C. Alternaria alternata (-**n**-) and Aspergillus tamarii (-**o**-)

3.2.3 Liquid minimal media (caffeine-sucrose) shaking culture assay

In an attempt to improve the behavior of the positive control a new set of experiments were set up under different growth conditions. Fungi were grown under agitation in larger volumes. The purpose of this experiment was to assess optimal conditions for caffeine detoxification based on optimal growth conditions of our positive control, *A. tamarii*. Figure 24 illustrates caffeine degradation of both fungi under agitation and at larger culture volumes. Approximately 46.95% of the initial caffeine was degraded. Nevertheless a highest variability was observed, since the growth under these conditions was highly heterogenous, and large mycelia clumps were usually observed (See Figure 25).



Incubation time (days)

Figure 24 Caffeine concentration in minimal media incubated at 25°C and 110 RPM Alternaria alternata (---) and Aspergillus tamarii (---)



Figure 25 Clumps formed by *Alternaria alternata* in minimal media under agitation. Photo by María del Pilar Sierra-Gómez

3.3 Assessment of Caffeine detoxification Upon Growth of Candidate Strains under Submerged Solid State Fermentation (SmSSF)

3.3.1 Fresh Coffee Pulp

3.3.1.1 Biological Characterization: Isolation and identification of filamentous fungi from coffee pulp

From fresh coffee pulp a total of 22 isolates were obtained (Table 6). These isolates were described as yeast like or filamentous.

Isolate	Tem	perature (°C)		Sporulation	Observation		
#	25	30	37	Media	(Appearance)		
1	Х			WA	Yeast like		
2	Х			WA	Yeast like		
3	Х			WA	Powder-cotton		
4	Х			WA	Filamentous		
5	Х			WA	Yeast like		
6	Х			WA	Yeast like		
7	Х			CPE	Yeast like		
8	Х			WA	Yeast like		
9	Х			WA	Yeast like		
10	Х			WA	Filamentous		
11	Х			WA	Filamentous		
12	Х			WA	Yeast like		
16		х		CPE	Yeast like		
17		х		CPE	Filamentous		
18		х		CPE	Yeast like		
19		х		CPE	Yeast like		
20			Х	WA	Yeast like		
21			Х	WA	Yeast like		
22			Х	WA	Yeast like		
23			Х	WA	Yeast like		
24			Х	CPE	Yeast like		
25			Х	CPE	Yeast like		

Table 6 Fungal isolates from coffee pulp at different temperatures.

The isolates above were extracted from fresh coffee pulp, using water agar (WA) and CPE as sporulation media. These isolates were selected from germinated spores on coffee pulp extract (CPE) media.

Isolation	Temperature (°C)					
Media	25	30	37			
WA	11	-	4			
CPE	1	4	2			
Total	22					

Table 7 Total of isolates from fresh coffee pulp by temperature and media

WA = Water agar, CPE= Coffee Pulp Extract

Isolates from coffee pulp extract (CPE) (Table 7) were inoculated in slide culture using moist chamber. Figure 26 shows the microscopic structures after incubation.



Figure 26 Fungal isolates from fresh coffee pulp in CPE at 30°C Photos by María del Pilar Sierra-Gómez

During this study we identified two isolates (17 and 18) based on the morphology as *Fusarium* sp from CPE media.

In addition, the same procedure was performed using coffee composted pulp. Five fungi were isolated identified as *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Four fungi were identified as *(Geotrichum Link, Oidioendron Robak (2), and Chrysosporium* Corda) two *Oidium* Sacc., *Basipetospora* Cole and Kendrick, and one possible blastospora (*Blastomyces* Cost and Roll) (See Appendix A).

3.3.1.2 Physical-chemical Characterization

Fresh coffee pulp was evaluated in terms of moisture, protein, pH and caffeine composition (Table 8), parameters important in solid state fermentation and future studies related to bioreactor design.

Component	Content
Moisture (%)	81.24 ± 0.87
Protein (%)	5.01 ± 0.81
pH	4.73 ± 0.03
Caffeine (mg l^{-1})	536.44 ± 29.65

Table 8 Coffee pulp fresh composition

3.3.2 Submerged Solid State Fermentation (SmSSF)

Dried and ground (850µm -1.4 mm) coffee pulp was used as substrate, to inoculate the candidate strain (*Alternaria alternata*). The control strain (*Aspergillus tamarii*) was also grown under these conditions. Figure 27 shows the organisms used as potential caffeine degraders in the context of coffee pulp. Growth occurred uniformly on the material.



Figure 27 Solid State Submerged Fermentation Left: *Aspergillus tamarii* Right: *Alternaria alternata* growing in coffee pulp at 25 °C stationary incubator. Photos by María del Pilar Sierra-Gómez.

Total fermentation time was three weeks. *Alternaria alternata* was sampled twice during two weeks and once for the other week, to measure caffeine, dry weight, and ergosterol content (as a sign of positive growth).



Figure 28 Microscopic slides of coffee pulp. Left: coffee pulp without growing (day 0) 40X and Right: Coffee pulp with *Alternaria alternata* (day 20) 40 X. Photos by María del Pilar Sierra-Gómez

Figure 28, shows light microscopy images in which non-inoculated and inoculated coffee pulp is observed. During day 0 (left) no fungal filaments or hyphae present. The "flake" of coffee pulp remained clear on the surface and on the edge. For day 20 (right) the slide shows the presence of hyphae on the edge, and on the surface of the flake of coffee pulp.

A relative decrease in the caffeine content was observed during the fermentation time, and the caffeine decreased on day 20. However, *Aspergillus tamarii* under these fermentation conditions exhibited less caffeine degradation (25.6%) than that of *A. alternata* (See Appendix B). *Alternaria alternata* showed a better performance, with caffeine content of 637.83 \pm 125.67 mg l⁻¹, representing 44.5% of caffeine degradation (Figure 29A).



Figure 29 Caffeine degradation, Ergosterol content and weight dry by *Alternaria alternata* SmSSF
(A) Caffeine degradation. (B) Ergosterol and weight dry as an indicator of growth (-●-) Dry weight, and (-□-) Ergosterol content.

Figure 29B illustrates the variation of dry weight compared with ergosterol content during the fermentation time. Dry weight decreased with fermentation time. In the case of ergosterol content, six replicates were measured for each day except for day 13 which used four replicates. A typical microbial growth curve was observed with increasing fermentation time, where active growth was indicated by a positive slope (log phase), which eventually reached a stationary phase. Ergosterol concentration was calculated using a calibration curve (y=2.00e7x + 54656).

3.4 Statistics

Assessment of Caffeine Detoxification by Candidate Strain in a Purified System

ANOVA was conducted to determine if there were differences between fungi and incubation time. Given the results there are evidence of difference between *Alternaria alternata* and *Aspergillus tamarii* (p=0.000), also there are differences between incubation time (p=0.000) in minimal media containing caffeine as sole carbon and nitrogen source (See appendix C).

At the same time ANOVA was conducted to determine any differences between *A. tamari* and *A. alternata* and incubation time in minimal media containing sucrose and caffeine as carbon and nitrogen source. As result there are evidence of difference between fungi (p=0.000) and between incubation time (p=0.000) (See Appendix D).

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Identification of Candidate Strains

4.1.1 Screening

Preethu, et al. (2007) reported 38.6% of lignin in coffee pulp, Salmones et al. (2005) recorded lignin content for *Coffea arabica* between (25-26% dw), Mazzafera (2002) reported the contents for carbohydrates (57.8% dw) and caffeine between (0.33-1.3% dw) (Molina et al. 1974) (Mazzafera, 2002). Based on these contents, coffee pulp is an alternative substrate to use in new trends of efficient use of agro-industrial residues. Effective re-utilization of this substrate will reduce its environmental impact (presence of agro-toxic such as caffeine), and will decrease the dependece of fossil fuels (coffee pulp as potential source of lignin).

A literature review was conducted using as criteria lignin and caffeine degradation, lignocellulosic activity, lignin-degrading enzymes, production of enzymes (such as peroxidase, laccase), fenton reactions, saprophytes, plant pathogens, and caffeine degradation enzymes in fungi. This review was done considering the toxic (caffeine) and antinutritional (lignin and derivatives) factors present in coffee pulp. From this review and in collaboration with the University of Wisconsin-Madison (Forest Products Laboratory) we decided to test thirteen strains which had reported the activities mentioned before. The collection used for this study represents ligniculous fungi which have been isolated from the natural environments. From this collection the ability to produce enzymes like laccases, peroxidases, cellulases, and others is known (Table 1). These enzymes are recognized in processes of delignification and studies of lignin degradation. From our results we can narrow our list to four candidates with caffeine degrader potential: *Alternaria alternata, Phanerochaete chrysosporium, Chaetomium globosum* and *Xylaria polymorpha* exhibited growth until 0.5% also to a small extent.

Phanerochaete chrysosporium is the ligniculous fungi mostly used in studies of lignin degradation (Table 1), also, it has been reported in studies of caffeine degradation, obtaining a caffeine detoxification of 70.8% (Brand et al. 2000). *Chaetomiun globosum* has been involved in lignocellulose degradation (Popescu et al. 2010) and has been studied for xylanolitic enzymes production (Gandhi and Rao, 1997). *Xylaria polymorpha* has been related on laccase production and wood decay studies (Chaparro et al. 2009). To our knowledge, there are no records of the caffeine degradation potential of *Alternaria alternata, Chaetomium globosum* and *Xylaria polymorpha*.

Alternaria alternata is known to grow well on PDA at 25 °C in darkness and mycelial mats covered all the media in Petri dishes in two weeks. The color of fungal colonies was usually dark brown to dark olive green brown, but often lighter and almost white colonies sometimes appear under the same conditions with the same medium. Its conidial size, shape, and segmentation varies considerably, depending on factors such as fungus strain, age of spores, substrate, pH, temperature, humidity, and light (Slavov et al. 2004). Laccase activity from this fungi has been reported by Atalla et al. (2010).

Alternaria alternata was selected to continue this study following the main objective of this study which focused on finding a fungal isolate that can coexist on a substrate with lignin and caffeine and can potentially oxidize or modify the material to make it more usable. Given the fact that Alternaria alternata was the candidate that showed the highest caffeine tolerance in our screening we decided to continue the characterization of its potential as a caffeine detoxifier of coffee pulp. In future studies we intend to pursue detoxification experiments with the other candidates (*Chaetomium globosum* and *Xylaria polymorpha*).

While we understand that the logical approach to find a candidate for the degradation of a material is by looking directly into the microbial population present in the material, in the case coffee pulp, we did not have adequate tools to conduct these experiments at the beginning of the present study. We decided to design an indirect approach to go around our limitations, which is the object of this research. However, we reported as part of our results work done to screen the fungal community present in the coffee. Our laboratory has begun work on establishing protocols for identification using molecular tools to continue a comprehensive study of the population of microorganisms in coffee pulp.

4.2 Assessment of Caffeine Detoxification by Candidate Strain in a Purified System

The purpose of this experiment was to determine directly whether there was any change in the concentration of pure caffeine during the growth of our candidate fungus in a purified system. Minimal media was set up with a small quantity of liquid, to provide support for mat formation.

In terms of culture conditions, the depth of fluid media is an important factor in chemical degradation by fungi. When fungi grew in deep (50 ml) liquid suspensions a higher variability was observed. In shallow (10 ml) suspensions less growth variability but a higher degradation time was observed. Logan et al. (1994) mention that there is not a reason to explain this phenomenon, but they make the assumption that oxygen transfer is an important factor. Additionally, based on Leisola et al. (1983), the mat formation limited oxygen transfer into the fluid of non-agitated cultures and inhibit the compound degradation. Therefore, we chose to use a shallow culture with no agitation to begin our studies like wood degradation and enzymatic studies (Sato et al. 2009) (Rothschild et al. 1999) Also, we noted that evaporation was an issue, especially for the later time points. For this reason the experiments were incubated until day 69.

Alternaria alternata decreased the caffeine content in minimal medium on day 69 ($566 \pm 41.16 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$), near to 45.33% of caffeine degradation, after that the caffeine content was stabilized at day 84 ($544.73\pm20.60 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$) approximately 47.42% of caffeine degradation.

Many authors had reported *Aspergillus tamarii* as good caffeine degrader, (Denis et al. 1998) and (Hakil et al. 1998) *Aspergillus tamarii* was integrated in this study to evaluate the caffeine degradation in comparison with *Alternaria alternata*. *Aspergillus tamarii* was selected based on studies which show the ability to caffeine degradation of 67.2% of caffeine degradation was degradation in a period of 48 h (Hakil et al. 1998). For Gutierrez-Sanchez et al.(2003) in a period of 6 days and after adding a pulse of caffeine the degradation was approximately 50%. Given these results *Aspergillus tamarii* was taken as control microorganism (caffeine-degrading fungi) under stationary shallow culture. *Aspergillus tamarii* did not exhibit mat formation, reflected in the poor degradation of caffeine near to 12.05%. Likely, the media and conditions in our experiment were not ideal for its development. The literature suggests the

addition of sucrose to improve the caffeine degradation, further, simple sugars such as glucose, fructose, sucrose, and sorbitol as sole carbon sources support high fungal growth; and sporulation (Gummadi et al. 2007). Thus, we conducted another experiment using caffeine as nitrogen source (Gutierrez-Sanchez et al. 2003), (Roussos et al. 1994), and sucrose (and caffeine) as a carbon source. For *Alternaria alternata* the kinetics of the degradation did not change. However, we observed an enhancement in caffeine degradation (60.92% as opposed to 45.23%). In the case of *A. tamarii*, it reached a maximum degradation of 11.13% was reached.

Based on these results with *A. tamarii* a new experiment was designed under agitation for both fungi, to assess whether the degradation time could be optimized. The results showed a high variability between samples and days, mainly due to the formation of aggregates, which limit the mass transfer and thus limit caffeine degradation. The lack of homogeneity of the medium in agitation, the high variability in caffeine degradation, and low feasibility of implementation in coffee farms (cost in energy from agitation) led us to discard the agitation method and continue our studies in solid state fermentations using a stationary format.

4.3 Assessment of Caffeine Detoxification upon Growth of Candidate Strain under Submerged Solid State Fermentation (SmSSF) Conditions

4.3.1 Coffee Pulp

In Puerto Rico, the predominant coffee specie is *Coffea arabica* which was introduce in 1736 from Martinica through Dominican Republic. (Monroig-Inglés n.d.). The cultivation temperature in Jayuya PR during the year is 73 °F (22.8 °C) which is the ideal temperature for the growth of mesophillic fungal strains. Roussos et al. (1995) isolated mesophillic and thermotolerant (25 and 37°C) strains from a mixture of soil, leaves and coffee cherries, thus some of the obtained strains belonging to *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium, Geotrichum, Humicola, Rhizopus* and *Trichoderma*. Silva et al. (2008) isolated and identified *Aspergillus, Penicillium, Cladosporium, Fusarium, Pestalotia* and *Paecelomyces* in coffee beans, where predominant fungi species during day zero were *Cladosporium* and some species of *Fusarium*. Also mentioned, that difference in moisture content and chemical composition of coffee affect the colonization by these microorganisms. Isolates of *Penicillium* were found during the whole fermentation process of fermentation and isolates of *Aspergillus* were detected on the 8th fermentation day. Microbial succession

was established with the predominance of bacteria at the initial fermentation time with the presence of filamentous fungi and yeast during the fermentation process.

In this research with coffee pulp from Jayuya, PR a temperature of 28 °C was recorded during the sampling. Most of the isolated strains grew at 25-30°C. In fresh coffee pulp found mainly yeast-like microorganisms which were not identified to genus using the proposed methodology. In this substrate (in fresh coffee pulp during day 0) *Fusarium* and *Aspergillus fumigatus* were also found in composted coffee pulp. Some isolates did not show all the stuctures in wet mounts and many of them showed only spores. Based on Watanabe (2000) spores may be one of the most important morphological characteristics for identification. However, some fungi may be identified on the basis of other morphological characteristics, for instance, the presence of hyphal septum which varies by species. Nevertheless, in some cases the fungi does not have spores making the identification process very difficult. Although, *Aspergillus fumigatus* has been reported as caffeine degrader, this fungi is recognized also as human pathogen and the use of this fungi require special conditions such as biohazard laboratory level 2.

Currently, molecular studies are a better tool to characterize the microbial population of these environmental isolates. Molecular studies are needed to identify the organisms from coffee pulp, especially in those where spores were abundant but hyphaes are not well defined in the slide (due to a possible artifact), which can result in a wrong identification.

4.3.2 Submerged Solid State Fermentation (SmSSF)

Experiments using submerged solid-state fermentation (SmSSF) was conducted under stationary conditions based on the results with the defined minimal medium with *Alternaria alternata*. Coffee pulp was dried, milled, and sterilized before being utilized in the fermentation. In preliminary experiments the most efficient particle size of the coffee pulp was determined. The particle size chosen based on the preliminary data was between 0.850 mm and 1.4 mm. Many authors suggest a particle size range between 0.8 -2.0 mm (Brand et al. 2000, Orozco et al. 2008). Large particle size increases the porosity of the cell surface, providing higher respiration/aeration efficiency. Small particles result in substrate accumulation, and poor growth (Murthy et al. 2009).

Alternaria alternata was grown in a coffee pulp extract agar (CPE-A) containing sucrose and other salts as decribed in the methodology section. This growth prior to inoculation in coffee pulp was carried out to allow an adaptation or induction period (Gokulakrishnan et al. 2005). In this research the inoculum was ground up mycelium growing on CPE. After incubation, samples were taken to measure the caffeine content. A reduction in the degradation time of caffeine was obtained. This result may be attributed to the nutritional composition of the media (greater availability of nutrients, or other potential precursors of caffeine degradation) (Braham and Bressani, 1979).

During the first three days an accelarated caffeine degradation occurs, which could be related to the high concentration of soluble caffeine and nutrients derived from the pulp after it had been processed (dried, milled and sterilized). Then, with the addition of water, sucrose and salts the media promotes a rapid induction of growth. This is evidenced by the maximum (day 3) in the ergosterol curve in Figure 29B. Ergosterol is a steroid present in fungi (Volker et al. 2000), which has been used as biomarker for quantification for biomass (Gors et al. 2007). Figure 29B also shows that, ergosterol increases with fermentation time and remained stable (day10) as the caffeine is degraded. This behavior suggests that the fungus uses soluble nutrients (including caffeine soluble in the media) rapidly causing a degradation of 53.19%. This result also corresponds to the weight loss observed in the sample. (Fig. 29B). In dry weight, which is a mix of pulp and fungi, there is a notably higher weight on day 3 presumably due to fungal growth. During the growth process occurs a substrate weight loss which is attributed to the fungus respiration which produces CO_2 and water (Boyle and Kropp, 1992). However, the behavior observed in dry weight can also be explained by the lack of any nutrient that can stimulate growth such as a nitrogen source as mentioned by Bjurman, (1994).

After the dissolved nutrients are depleted from the medium (day 6) we believe that the fungus begins to attack or consume nutrients such as carbon and nitrogen sources sequestered in the pulp (day 10). At this point more caffeine is released into the medium to be consumed again by the fungus. This is reflected by the increase in caffeine concentration at day 6. After day 6 the reduction of caffeine continues obtaining a degradation of 44.5% based on the initial concentration of caffeine extracted from the fresh pulp in day 20 (Figure 29A).

5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on this study, the implementation of a stationary system for the treatment of coffee pulp is recommended. This recommendation is based on the fact that this system achieved a caffeine decrease of 43% over a period of 20 days using *Alternaria alternata* as caffeine degrader. The potential of the proposed system but more studies are done to optimize the percentage of degradation of caffeine and to evaluate the lignin degradation, or the removal of other anti-nutritional factors under these conditions.

Several parameters to optimize caffeine degradation in an SSF include pH, moisture content, temperature, and inoculum effect. With regards to this last parameter the development of methods to stimulate and measure the sporulation process of *Alternaria* and to establish different percentage of inoculum in term of weight volume ratio (w/v) are recommended (Sarath Babu et al. 2005).

As part of this work a study of the fungal population in coffee pulp was initiated resulting in the identification of fungi that occur naturally in fresh coffee pulp, most of which were yeast. Additional studies are in progress to assess the identity of microorganisms from coffee pulp using molecular biology tools. Furthermore, other candidate strains such as *Chaetomium globosum* and *Xylaria polymorpha* will be evaluated in coffee pulp using the suggested methodology to compare with *Alternaria alternata* in terms of caffeine and lignin degradation

To elucidate the metabolism and enzymes that are produced during caffeine degradation its necessary to carry out other studies that integrate the use of other more sensitive equipment such as Gas Chromatography- Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) that can be used to determine the identity of eluents in the HPLC profiles that result as the reduction of caffeine takes place.

Finally, based on the work presented here a model for coffee pulp detoxification was constructed. The model is based on biopulping. The biopulping procedure involves decontaminating wood chips with steam to eliminate competitive naturally occurring bacteria and fungi. Then the chips are sprayed with an inoculum of a selected fungus, and then inoculated chips are incubated for 2 weeks. Under warm, moist

conditions lignin degrading fungi colonize the chips' surfaces and penetrate their interior with a network of hyphae (Singh et al. 2010). With this model in mind we proposed a design to be implemented by coffee farmers for the management of coffee-processing waste (Figure 30). The model works as follows: (1) represents the coffee pulp inlet, which pass through a grinding mill, (2) reduces the particule size, and will be attached to a screw conveyor (4) the coffee pulp will be decontaminated with steam (3) and sprayed with the inoculum (6) which will be a suitable candidate (e.g. *Alternaria alternata*) lyophyllized and reconstituted in an inoculum tank (5) to finally transport the material to the incubation tanks (7).



Design by Maria del Pilar Sierra-Gomez, 2012

Figure 30 Detoxification process

(1) Coffee pulp flow, (2) Gringind mill, (3) Steam inlet, (4) Screw conveyor, (5) Inoculum preparation tank, (6) Inoculum inlet (spray), (7) Fermentation tank (Made with SmartDraw)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Akdogan, H. A., and N. K. Pazarlioglu. 2011 "Fluorene biodegradation by P. ostreatus - Part I: Biodegradation by free cells". Process Biochemistry 46(4): 834-839.

Alexandrino, A.M., H.G. de Faria, C.G.M. de Souza, and R.M. Peralta. 2007 "*Reutilization of orange waste for production of lignocellulotic enzymes by Pleurotus ostreatus*". Ciencia E Tecnologia de Alimentos 27(2): 364-368.

Apohan, E., and O. Yesilada. 2011 "Enhancement of laccase production of pre-grown fungal pellets in wastewater of olive oil mills". Fresenius environmental bulletin. 20(5): 1216-1224.

Arora, D. S. and R. K. Sharma. 2011 "Effect of different supplements on bioprocessing of wheat straw by Phlebia brevispora: Changes in its chemical composition, in vitro digestibility and nutritional properties" Bioresorce Technology 102(17): 8085-8091.

Ashihara, H. and A. Crozier. 1999 "Biosynthesis and Catabolism of Caffeine in Low-Caffeine-Containing Species of Coffea" J. Agric. Food Chem 47: 3425-3431.

Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC). 1990. Official Methods of Analysis of Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Fifteenth edition. V.2.

Atalla, M., H.Mabrouk, K. Zeinab, R. H. Eman, A. Y. Amani, and A. Abd El Aty Abeer. 2010 "Screening of some marine-derived fungal isolates for lignin degrading enzymes (LDEs) production". Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America 1(4): 591-599.

Babic, J., and A. Pavko. 2007 "Production of ligninolytic enzymes by Ceriporiopsis subvermispora for decolourization of synthetic dyes." Acta Chimica Slovenica 54(4): 730-734.

Barnett, H.L, and B.B. Hunter. 1986. "Illustrated genera of imperfect fungi". Fourth edition. New York: Mac Millan Publishing Company. 218pp.

Benyagoub, M., N. Benhamou, and O. Carisse. 1998 "Cytochemical investigation of the antagonistic interaction between a Microsphaeropsis sp (isolate P130A) and Venturia inaequalis." Phytopathology 88, (7): 605-613.

Berecha, G., F. Lemessa, and M. Wakijira. 2011 "*Exploring the suitability of coffee pulp compost as growth media substitute in greenhouse production*." International journal of Agricultural Research 6(3): 255-267.

Bilkay, I. S. 2009 "Characterization of beta-galactosidase from Alternaria alternata on solid- state cultivation." Italian Journal of Food Science 21(1): 29-36.

Bjurman, J. 1994 "Ergosterol as an Indicator of Mould Growth on Wood in Relation to Culture Age, Humidity Stress and Nutrient Level." International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation: 355-368.

Bonito, G., O. S. Isikhuemhen, and R. Vilgalys. 2010 "Identification of fungi associated with municipal compost using DNA-based techniques." Bioresource Technology 101(3): 1021-1027.

Boyle C.D., B.R. Kropp. 1992. "Development and Comparison of Methods for measuring growth of filamentous fungi on wood." Can. J. Microbiol 38:1053-1060.

Braham, J. E., and R. Bressani. 1979. *Coffee Pulp: Composition, Technology and Utilization*. Centre de Recherches Pour le Developpement International. 96 pp.

Brand, D., A. Pandey, J. A. Rodriguez-Leon, S. Roussos, I. Brand, and C. R. Soccol. 2002. "*Relationship between coffee husk caffeine degradation and respiration of Aspergillus sp. LPBx in solid state fermentation*." Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology 102-103: 169-177.

Brand, D., A. Pandey, S. Roussos, and C. R. Soccol. 2000. "*Biological detoxification of coffee husk by filamentous fungi using a solid state fermentation system*." Enzyme and Microbial Technology 27: 127-133.

Brussaard, L., V.M. Behan-Pelletier, D.E. Bignell; V.K. Brown, W. Didden; P. Folgarait, C. Fragoso, D.W. Freckman, V.V.S.R. Gupta, T. Hattori, D.L. Hawksworth, C. Klopatek, P. Lavelle, D.W. Malloch, J. Rusek, B. Soderstrom, J.M. Tiedje, R.A. Virginia. 1997. "*Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning in soil*." Ambio 26: 563-570.

Bugos, R. C., J. B. Sutherland, and J. H. Adler. 1988. "*Phenolic compound utilization by the soft rot fungus Lecythophora hoffmannii*." Applied and environmental microbiology 54(7): 1882-1885.

Carlin-Sinclair, A., I. Marc, L. Menguy, and D. Prim. 2009." *The Determination of Methylxanthines in Chocolate and Cocoa by Different Separation Techniques: HPLC, Instrumental TLC, and MECC.*" Journal of Chemical Education 86(11): 1307-1310.

Chaparro, D. F., D. C. Rosas, and A. Varela. 2009. "Isolation of wood decaying fungi and evaluation of their enzymatic activity." Revista iberoamericana de micologia 26(4): 238-243.

Chen, Qi-he, S. Krugener, T. Hirth, S. Rupp, and S. Zibek. 2011. "Co-cultured Production of Lignin-Modifying Enzymes with Rot Fungi." Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology 165(2): 700-718.

Chmelova, D., M.Ondrejovic, V. Ondas, and E. Sturdik. 2011. "Influence of cultivation conditions on production of lignocellulolytic enzymes by Ceriporiopsis subvermispora." Biologia 66(5): 748-754.

Cho, C.H., K.H. Lee, J.S. Kim, and Y.S. Kim. 2008. "*Micromorphological charactistics of bamboo (Phyllostarchys pubescens) fibers degraded by a brown rot fungus (Gloeophyllum trabeum)*." Journal of Wood Science 54(3): 261-265.

Cho, N.S, A.I Hatakka, J. Rogalski, H.Y. Cho, and S. Ohga. 2009. "*Directional Degradation of Lignocellulose by Phlebia radiata*." Journal of the Faculty of Agriculture Kyushu University 54(1): 73-80.

Clausen, C. A., and F. Green. 1996. "Characterization of polygalacturonase from the brown-rot fungus Postia placenta." Applied microbiology and biotechnology 45(6): 750-754.

Cunha, GGS., F. Masarin, M. Norambuena, J. Freer, and A. Ferraz. 2010. "Linoleic acid peroxidation and lignin degradation by enzymes produced by Ceriporiopsis subvermispora grown on wood or in submerged liquid cultures." Enzyme and microbial technology 46(3-4): 262-267.

Dash, S. S. and S. N. Gummadi. 2008. "Inducible nature of the enzymes involved in catabolism of caffeine and related methylxanthines." Journal of Basic Microbiology 48: 227-233.

Denis, S., C. Augur, B. Marin, and S. Roussos. 1998. "A new HPLC method to study fungal caffeine metabolism." Biotechnology techniques 12(5): 359-362.

Diaz, R., S. Alonso, C. Sanchez, A. Tomasini, M. Bibbins-Martinez, and G. Diaz-Godinez. 2011. "*Characterization of the growth and laccase activity of strains of Pleurotus ostreatus in submerged fermentation*." Bioresources 6(1): 282-290.

Dijksterhuis, Jan. 2007. Food Mycology a Multifaceted Approach to Fungi and food. CRC Press. 424 pp.

Dominguez, A., J. Gómez, M. Lorenzo, and A. Sanroman. 2007. "Enhanced production of laccase activity by Trametes versicolor immobilized into alginate beads by the addition of different inducers." World Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology 23(3): 367-373.

Duncan, S. M. and J. S. Schilling. 2010."Carbohydrate-hydrolyzing enzyme ratios during fungal degradation of woody and non-woody lignocellulose substrates." Enzyme and microbial technology 47(7): 363-371.

Dutt, D., A.P. Garg, C.H. Tyagi, A.K. Upadhyay, and J.S. Upadhyaya. 2007. "*Bio-soda-ethanol-water (bio-sew) delignification of lignocellulosic residues of Cymbopogon martini with Phanerochaete chrysosporium*." Cellulose Chemistry and Technology 41(2-3): 161-174.

Dwivedi, P., V. Vivekanand, N. Pareek, A. Sharma, and R. P. Singh. 2011. "Co cultivation of mutant Penicillium oxalicum SAU(E)-3-510 and Pleurotus ostreatus for simultaneous biosynthesis of of xylanase and laccase under solid-state fermentation." New biotechnology 28(6): 616-626.

Elissetche, J.P., A. Ferraz, J. Freer, and J. Rodriguez. 2007." *Enzymes produced by Ganoderma australe growing on wood and in submerged cultures.*" World Journal of microbiology & Biotechnology 23(3): 429-434.

Fujii, K., and K. Takeshi. 2007. "Penicillium strains as dominant degraders in soil for coffee residue, a biological waste unsuitable for fertilization." Journal of Applied Microbiology 103: 2713-2720.

Gadd, G. M. 2001. Fungi in Bioremediation. New York: Cambridge University Press. 496 pp.

Gaime-Perraud, I., S. Roussos, and D. Martinez-Carrera. 1993. "Natural Microorganisms of the Fresh Coffee Pulp." Micol. Neotrop. Apl. 6: 95-103.

Gandhi, J. P., and K. K. Rao. 1997. "Location of xylanolitic enzymes in Chaetomium globosum." Journal of Basic Microbiology 37(2): 79-84.

Gassara, F., S. K. Brar, R. D. Tyagi, R. P. John, M. Verma, and J. R. Valero. 2011. "*Parameter optimization for production of lignolytic enzymes using aro-industrial wastes by response surface method*." Biotechnology and bioprocess engineering 16(2): 343-351.

Gokulakrishnan, S., K. Chandraraj, and S. N. Gummadi. 2005. "*Microbial and enzymatic methods for the removal of caffeine*." Enzyme and Microbial Technology 37: 225-232.

Gomes, E., A.P. Aguiar, C.C. Carvalho, M.R.B. Bonfa, R. da Silva, and M. Boscolo. 2009. "Ligninases Production by Basidiomycetes Strains on Lignocellulosic Agricultural Residues and their Application in the decoloration of Synthetic dyes." Brazilian Journal of microbiology 40(1): 31-39.

Gors, S., R. Schumann, N.Haubner, and U. Karsten. 2007. "*Fungal and algal biomass in biofilms on artificial surfaces quantified by ergosterol and chlorophyll a as biomarkers*." International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation. 60: 50-59.

Green, F., C. A. Clausen, T. A. Kuster, and T. L. Highley. 1995. "Induction of polygalacturonase and the formation of oxalic-acid by pectin in brown-rot fungi." World journal of microbiology & Biotechnology 11(5): 519-524.

Gummadi, S. N., A. C. Lionel, S. S. Dash, and S. Gokulakrishnan. 2007. "The effect of glucose on growth and degradation of caffeine by Pseudomonas sp." Research Journal of Microbiology 2(4): 327-336.

Gummadi, S. N., and D. Santhosh. 2010. "Kinetics of growth and caffeine demethylase production of Pseudomonas sp. in bioreactor." J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 37: 901-908.

Gupta, R., G. Mehta, Y. P. Khasa, and R. C. Kuhad. 2011. "Fungal delignification of lignocellulosic biomass improves the saccharification of cellulosics." Biodegradation 22(4): 797-804.

Gupte, A., S. Gupte, and H. Patel. 2007. "*Ligninolytic enzyme production under solid- state fermentation by white rot fungi*." Journal of scientific & Industrial Research 66(8): 611-614.

Gutierrez-Sanchez, G., S. Roussos, and C. Augur. 2003. "Effect of the nitrogen source on caffeine degradation by Aspergillus tamarii." Letters in Applied Microbiology 38: 50-55.

Hakil, M., S. Denis, G. Viniegra-Gonzalez, and C. Augur. 1998. "Degradation and product analysis of caffeine and related dimethylxanthines by filamentous fungi." Enzyme and Microbial Technology 22: 355-359.

Harreither, W., C. Sygmund, E. Dunhofen, R. Vicuna, D. Haltrich, and R. Ludwig. 2009. "*Cellobiose dehydrogenase from the ligninolytic basidiomycetes Ceriporiopsis subvermispora*." Applied and Environmental Microbiology 75(9): 2750-2757.

Hawksworth, D. L., and B. E. Kirsop. 1988. *Living Resources for Biotechnology Filamentous Fungi*. New York: Cambridge University Press. 224 pp.

Hayatsu, M., K. Tago, and M. Saito. 2008. "Various players in the nitrogen cycle: Diversity and functions of the microorganisms involved in nitrification and denitrification." Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 54: 33-54.

Hiltunen, M., and K. Soderhall. 1992. "Alternariol-o-methyltransferase from Alternaria alternata - Partial-Purification and relation to Polyketide Synthesis." Experimental Mycology 16(1): 44-51.

Hiyama, R., S. Gisusi, and A. Harada. 2011. "Evaluation of waste mushroom medium from cultivation of Shiitake mushroom (Lentinula edodes as feedstock of enzymic saccharification." Journal of Wood Science 57(5): 429-435.

Homma, H., H. Shinoyama, Y. Nobuta, Y. Terashima, S. Amachi, and T. Fujii. 2007. "Lignin-degrading activity of edible mushroom Strobilurus ohshimae that forms fruiting bodies on buried sugi (Cryptomeria japonica) twigs." Journal of Wood Science 53(1): 80-84.

Hu, M., W.C. Zhang, Y. Wu, P.J. Gao, and X.M. Lu. 2009. "*Characteristics and function of a low-molecular-weight compound with reductive activity from Phanerochaete chrysosporium in lignin biodegradation*." Bioresource Technology 27(2): 185-194.

Huang, D. L., G.M. Zeng, C.L. Feng, S.A. Hu, M.H. Zhao, C. Lai, Y. Zhang, X.Y. Jiang, H.L Liu. 2010. "*Mycelial growth and solid state fermentation of lignocellulosic waste by white-rot fungus Phanearochaete chrysosporium under lead stress.*" Chemosphere 81(9): 1091-1097.

Jayachandra, T, C. Venugopal, and K. A. Anu Appaiah. 2011. "Utilization of phytotoxic agro waste-Coffee cherry husk through pretreatment by the ascomycetes fungi Mycotypha for bioremediation." Energy for Sustainable Development 15: 104-108.

Jing, D., P. Li, F.Stagnitti, and X. Xiong. 2007. "Optimization of laccase production by Trametes versicolor." Canadian Journal of Microbiology 53(2): 245-251.

Jones, H. L., and J. J. Worrall. 1995. "Fungal biomass in decayed wood." Mycologia 87(4): 459-466.

Kang, YM, L. Prewitt, S. Diehl, and D. Nicholas. 2010. "Screening of Basidiomycetes and gene expression of selected lignin modifying enzymes of Phlebia radiata during biodeterioration of three wood types." International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation 64(7): 545-553.

Kanmani, P, P. Karuppasamy, C. Pothiraj, and V. Arul. 2009. "Studies on lignocellulose biodegradation of coir waste in solid state fermentation using Phanerochaete chrysosporium and Rhizopus stolonifer." African Journal of Biotechnology 8(24): 6880-6887.

Ke, L., Q. Wu, and D. Zhang. 2011. "Bioconversion of rape straw into a nutritionally enriched substrate by Ganoderma lucidum and yeast." African Journal of Biotechnology 10(29): 5648-5653.

Kim, J.S, K.H. Lee, C.H Cho, G. Koch, and Y.S. Kim. 2008. "*Micromorphological characteristics and lignin distribution in bamboo (Phyllostachys pubescens) degraded by the white-rot fungus Lentinus edodes*." Holzforschung 62(4): 481-487.

Klein, D. A., and M. W. Paschke. 2004. "Filamentous fungi: The Indeterminate Lifestyle and Microbial Ecology." Microbial Ecology 47: 224-235.

Kluczek-Turpeinen, B., P. Maijala, M. Hofrichter, and A. Hatakka. 2007. "Degradation and enzymatic activities of three Paecilomyces inflatus strains grown on diverse lignocellulosic substrates." International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation 59(4): 283-291.

Kum, H., S. Lee, S. Ryu, and H. T. Choi. 2011. "Degradation of Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals by Genetic Transformants with Two lignin Degrading Ezymes in Phlebia tremellosa." Journal of Microbiology 49(5): 824-827.

Kwon, H.S., E. Chung, J. Oh, C.H. Lee, and I.S. Ahn. 2008. "*Optimized production of lignolytic manganese peroxidase in immobilized cultures of Phanerochaete chrysosporium*." Biotechnological and Bioprocess Engineering 13(1): 108-114.

Lara-Marquez, A., M. G. Zavala-Paramo, E. Lopez-Romero, and H. Cano-Camacho. 2011. "Biotechnological potential of pectinolitic complexes of fungi." Biotechnol Lett 33: 859-868.

Lehringer, C., G. Koch, R.-B. Adusumalli, W. M. Mook, K. Richter, and H. Militz. 2011. "Effect of Physisporinus vitreus on wood properties of Norway spruce. Part I: Aspects of delignification and surface hardness." Holzforschung 65(5): 711-719.

Leisola, M., D. Ulmer, and A. Fiechter. 1983. "Problem of oxygen transfer during degradation of lignin by Phanerochaete chrysosporium." Eur. J. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 17: 113-116.

Li, Z. M., Y. Liu, Z. Y. Chi, and S. L. Chen. 2011. "Effects of pluronic F68 on manganese peroxidase production by *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*." Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology 164(4): 487-496.

Liers, C., T. Arnstadt, R. Ullrich, and M. Hofrichter. 2011. "Patterns of lignin degradation and oxidative enzyme secretion by different wood and litter colonizing basidiomycetes snd ascomycetes grown on beech-wood." FEMS Microbiology Ecology 78(1): 91-102.

Litchfield, J. H. 1983. "Single-Cell Proteins." Science 219(4585): 740-746.

Logan, B. E., B. C. Alleman, G. L. Amy, and R. L. Gilbertson. 1994. "Adsorption and Removal of Pentachlorophenol by White Rot Fungi in Batch Culture." Water Research 28(7): 1533-1538

Lopez, M.J., M.D. Vargas-Garcia, F. Suarez-Estrella, N.N. Nichols, B.S. Dien, and J. Moreno. 2007. "*Lignocellulose-degrading enzymes produced by the ascomycete Coniochaeta ligniaria and related species: Application for a lignocellulosic substrate treatment*." Enzyme and Microbial Technology 40(4): 794-800.

Ma, F., J. Wang, Y. Zeng, H. Yu, Y. Yang, and X. Zang. 2011. "Influence of the co-fungal treatment with two white rot fungi on the lignocellulosic degradation and thermogravimetry of corn stover." Process Biochemistry 46(9): 1767-1773.

Magalhaes, P. O., and A.M.F. Milagres. 2009. "Biochemical properties of a beta-mannanase and a beta-xylanase produced by Ceriporiopsis subvermispora during biopulping conditions." International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation 63(2): 191-195.

Mancilla, R. A., P. Canessa, A. Manubens, and R. Vicuna. 2010. "Effect of manganese on the secretion of managanese-peroxidase by the basidiomycete Ceriporiopsis subvermispora." Fungal Genetics and Biology 47(7): 656-661.

Martinez, M. J., C. Vazquez, I. Diaz, and F. Reyes. 1988. "Pectic enzymes from autolysed cultures of Alternaria alternata in plant protoplast production." Microbiologica 11(3): 255-258.

Mazafera, P., O. Olsson, and G. Sandberg. 1996. "Degradation of caffeine and related methylxanthines by Serratia marcescens isolated from soil under coffee cultivation." Microbial Ecology 31: 199-207.

Mazzafera, P. 2002. "Degradation of caffeine by microorganisms and potential use of decaffeinated coffee husk and pulp in animal feeding." Scientia Agricola 59(4): 815-821.

Medeiros, A. B.P., A. Pandey, L. P.S. Vandenberghe, G. M. Pastore, and C. R. Soccol. 2006. "*Production and Recovery of Aroma Compounds Produced by Solid-State Fermentation using Differents Absorbents*." Food Technol. Biotechnol. 44(1): 47-51.

Mehdi, D., H. Schraft, and W. Quin. 2009. "Fungal Bioconversion of Lignocellulosic Residues: Opportunities & Perspectives." International Journal of Biological Science 5(6): 578-595.

Mena-Espino, X., F. Barahona-Perez, L. Alzate-Gaviria, R. Rodriguez-Vazquez, M Tzec-Sima, J. Dominguez-Maldonado, B.B Canto-Canche. 2011. "Saccharification with Phanerochaete chrysosporium and Pleurotus ostreatus enzymatic extracts of pretreated banana waste." African Journal of Biotechnology 10(19): 3824-3834.

Micales, J. A. 1991. "Increased recovery of beta-glucosidase from Postia placenta in presence of tween surfactants." Material und Organismen Journal 26(1): 63-78.

Misra, A.K., A.S. Mishra, M.K. Tripathi, R. Prasad, S. Vaithiyanathan, and R.C. Jakhmola. 2007. "*Optimization of solid state fermentation of mustard (Brassica campetris) straw for production of animal feed by white rot fungi (Ganoderma lucidum)*." Asian-australasian Journal of Animal Sciences 20(2): 208-213.

Molina, M. R., G. de la Fuente, M.A. Batten, and R. Bressani. 1974. "*Decaffeination. A Process to Detoxify Coffee Pulp*." Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 22(6): 1055-1059.

Monroig- Inglés, Miguel F. Ecos del Cafe. http://academic.uprm.edu/mmonroig/id45.htm (accessed Dec 2011).

Monrroy, M., J. Ibanez, V. Melin, J. Baeza, R.T. Regis, D. Contreras, J. Freer. 2010."*Bioorganosolv pretreatments of P. radiata by a brown rot fungus (Gloephyllum trabeum) and ethanolysis*." Enzyme and Microbial Technology 47(1-2): 11-16.

Mumin, M.d.A., K. F. Akhter, M.Z. Abedin, and M.Z. Hossain. 2006. "Determination and characterization of caffeine in tea, coffee and soft drinks by solid phase extration and high performance liquid chromatography (SPE-HPLC)." Malaysian Journal of Chemistry 8(1): 045-051.

Murthy, P. S., M. Naidu, and P. Srinivas. 2009. "Production of a-amylase under solid -state fermentation utilizing coffee waste." J Chem Technol Biotechnol 84: 1246-1249.

Nava, I., I. Gaime-Perraud, S. Huerta-Ochoa, E. Favela-Torres, and G. Saucedo-Castaneda. 2006. "*Penicillium commune spore production in solid-state fermentation of coffee pulp at laboratory scale and in a helical ribbons rotating reactor*." J Chem Technol Biotechnol 81: 1760-1766.

Nsolomo, V. R., K. Venn, and H. Solheim. 2000. "The ability of some fungi to cause decay in the East African camphor tree Ocotea usambarensis." Mycological Research 104(12): 1473-1479.

Odafe, F.P., A. C. Marvier, N. A. Katerelos, and P. W. Goodenough. 2001. "*The characteristics and stabilization of a caffeine demethylase enzyme complex*." International journal of Food Science and Technology 36: 693-698.

Ohashi, Y., Y. Kan, T. Watanabe, Y. Honda, and T. Watanabe. 2007. "*Redox silencing of the Fenton reaction system by an alkylitaconic acid, ceriporic acid B produced by a selective lignin-degrading fungus, Ceriporiopsis subvermispora.*" Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry 5(5): 840-847.

Orozco, A.L., M.I. Perez, O. Guevara, J. Rodriguez, M. Hernandez, F.J. Gonzalez-Vila, O. Polvillo, M.E. Ariasl. 2008. "Biotechnological enhancement of coffee pulp residues by solid state fermentation with Streptomyces. Py-GC/MS analysis." J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolisis 81: 247-252.

Ortiz-Bermudez, P., K.C. Hirth, E. Srebotnik, and K.E. Hammel. 2007. "*Chlorination of lignin by ubiquitous fungi* has a likely role in global organochlorine production." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104(10): 3895-3900.

Osma, J. F., U. Moilanen, J. L. Toca-Herrera, and S. Rodriguez-Couto. 2011. "Morphology and laccase production of white-rot fungi grown on wheat bran flakes under semi-solid-state fermentation conditions." FEMS Microbiology Letters 318(1): 27-34.

Penaloza, W., M. R. Molina, R. Gómez Brenes, and R. Bressani. 1985. "Solid-State fermentation: an alternative to improve the nutritive value of coffee pulp." Applied and Environmental Microbiology 49(2): 388-393.

Popescu, C. M., G. Lisa, A. Manoliu, P. Gradinariu, and C. Vasile. 2010. "*Thermogravimetric analysis of fungus*degraded lime wood." Carbohydrate Polymers 80(1): 78-83.

Preethu, D.C., B.N.U.H. Bhanu Prakash, C.A. Srinivasamurthy, and B.G. Vasanthi. 2007. "*Maturity Indices as an Index to Evaluate the Quality of Compost of Coffe Waste Blended with Other Organic Wastes.*" Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainable Solid Waste Management. 270-275.

Quarantino, D., F. Federici, M. Petruccioli, M. Fenice, and A. D'Annibale. 2007. "*Production, purification and partial characterization of a novel laccase from the white-rot fungus Panus trigrinus CBS 577.79.*" Antonie Van Leewenhoek International Journal of General and Molecular Microbiology : 57-69.

Rezacova, V., H. Hrselova, H. Gryndlerova, I.Miksik, and M. Gryndler. 2006. "Modifications of degradationresistant soil organic matter by soil saprobic microfungi." Soil Biology and Biochemistry 38(8): 2292-2299.

Riddell, R. W. 1950. "Permanent Stained Mycological Preparations Obtained by Slide Cultures." Mycologia 42(2): 265-270.

Rothschild, N., A. Levkowitz, Y. Hadar, and C. G. Dosoretz. 1999. "Manganese Deficiency can Replace High Oxygen Levels Needed for Lignin Peroxidase Formation by Phanerochaete chrysosporium." Applied and Environmental Microbiology 65(2)483-488.

Roussos, S., M.D. Aquiahuatl, M.D.Trejo-Hernandez, I.G. Perraud; E. Favela, M. Ramakrishna, M. Raimbault, G. Viniegra-Gonzalez. 1995." *Biotechnological management of coffee pulp isolation, screening, characterization, selection of caffeine degrading fungi and natural microflora present in coffee pulp and husk.*" Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 42: 756-762.

Roussos, S., L. Hannibal, M.A. Aquiahuatl, M.R. Trejo-Hernandez, and S. Marakis. 1994. "*Caffeine degradation by Penicillium vertucosum in solid state fermentation of coffee pulp: Critical effect of additional Inorganic and organic nitrogen source*." J. Food Sci. Technol. 31(4): 316-319.

Salmones, D., G. Mata, and K. N. Waliszewski. 2005. "Comparative culturing of Pleurotus spp. on coffee pulp and wheat straw: biomass production and substrate biodegradation." Bioresource Technology 96: 537-544.

Salvachua, D., A. Prieto, M. Lopez-Abelarias, T. Lu-Chau, A. T. Martinez, and M.J. Martinez. 2011. "Fungal pretreatment: An alternative in second-generation ethanol from wheat straw." Bioresource technology 102(16): 7500-7506.

Sanchez, C. 2009. "Lignocellulosic residues: Biodegradation and Bioconversion by Fungi." Biotechnology Advances 27: 185-194.

Sandun, F., A. Sushil, C. Chauda, and M. Naveen. 2006. "Biorefineries: Current status, challenges, and future direction." Energy and Fuels 20(4): 1727-1737.

Sarath Babu, V.R., S. Patra, M.S Thakur, N.G. Karanth, and M.C. Varadaraj. 2005. "*Degradation of Caffeine by Pseudomonas alcaligenes CFR 1708*." Enzyme and Microbial Technology 37: 617-624.

Sato, S., F. A. Feltus, P. Iyer, and M. Tien. 2009. "The first genome-level transcriptome of the wood-degrading fungus Phanerochaete chrysosporium grown on red oak." Current Genetics 55(3): 273-286.

Schwarze, F. W.M. R., and M. Schuber. 2011. "Physisporinus vitreus: a versatile white rot fungi for engineering value-added wood products." Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 92(3): 431-440.

Segura, F., R. Echeverri, and A. I. Mejia. 2008. "Selective delignification of the Pennisetum purpureum x Pennisetum typhoides grass using ligninolytic basidiomycetes." Vitae-Revista de la Facultad de Quimica Farmaceutica 15(1): 41-50.

Sharma, R.K., and D.S Arora. 2010. "Production of lignocellulolytic enzymes and enhancement of in vitro digestibility during solid state fermentation of wheat straw by Phlebia floridensis." Bioresource Technology 101(23): 9248-9253.

Shrestha, P., S. K. Khanal, A. L. Pometto, and J. Van Leeuwen. 2010. "*Ethanol production via in situ fungal saccharification and fermentation*." Bioresource Technology 101(22): 8698-8705.

Shrivastava, B., S. Thakur, Y. P. Khasa, A. Gupte, A. K. Puniya, and R. C. Kuhad. 2011. "White rot fungal conversion of wheat straw to energy rich cattle feed." Biodegradation 22(4): 823-831.

Shuler, M. L., and F. Kargi. 2002. *Bioprocess Engineering Basic Concepts*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall Inc. 576 pp.

Silva, C.M.M.D, I. S. De Melo, A.D.N. Maia, and R. B. Abakerli. 1999. "Isolation of carbendazim degrading fungi." Pesquisa agropecuaria brasileira 34(7): 1255-1264.

Silva, C. F., L. R. Batista, L. M. Abreu, D. E. Souza, and R. F. Schwan. 2008. "Succession of bacterial and fungal communities during natural coffee (Coffea arabica) fermentation." Food Microbiology 25: 951-957.

Singh, D., and S Chen. 2008. "The white rot fungus Phanerochaete chrysosporium: conditions for the production of lignin-degrading enzymes." Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 81(3): 399-417.

Singh, P., O. Sulaiman, R. Hashim, P. F. Rupani, and L. C. Peng. 2010. "*Biopulping of lignocellulosic material using different fungal species: a review*." Reviews in Environmental and Bio-technology 9(2): 141-151.

Slavov, S., S. Mayama, and A. Atanassov. 2004. "Some Aspects of Epidemiology of Alternaria alternata Tobacco Pathotype." Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment 18(3): 85-89.

Soccol, C. R., and L. P.S. Vandenberghe. 2003. "Overview of applied solid-state fermentation in Brazil." Biochemical Engineering Journal 13: 205-213.

Sridhar, M., S. Senani, and R. Bhatta. 2011. "Production of proteases and lignolytic enzymes during solid state fermentation (SSF) of finger millet straw (Eleusine coracana)." Indian Journal of Animal Science 81(7): 723-729.

Staszczak, M., J. Sajewicz, and S. Ohga. 2011. "Effect of Endoplasmatic Reticulum Stress on Laccase Production and the 26S Proteasome Activity in White Rot Fungus Trametes versicolor." Journal of the Faculty of Agriculture Kyushu 56(2): 205-211.

Tagliari, C. V., R. K. Sanson, A. Zanette, T. T. Franco, and C. R. Soccol. 2003. "*Caffeine degradation by Rhizopus delemar in packed bed column bioreactor using coffee husk as substrate*." Brazilian Journal of Microbiology 34: 102-104.

Tanaka, H., K. Koike, S. Itakura, and A. Enoki. 2009. "Degradation of wood and enzyme production by Ceriporiopsis subvermispora." Enzyme and Microbial Technology 45(5): 384-390.

Thiruchelvam, A.T., and J. A. Ramsay. 2007. "Growth and laccase productionkinetics of Trametes versicolor in a stirred tank reactor." Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 74(3): 547-554.

Tinoco, R., A. Acevedo, E. Galindo, and L. Serrano-Carreon. 2011. "Increasing Pleurotus ostreatus laccase production by culture medium optimization and copper/lignin synergistic induction." Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology 38(4): 531-540.

Ulloa Rojas, J.B., J.A.J. Verreth, S. Amato, and E.A. Huisman. 2003. "Biological treatments affect the chemical composition of coffee pulp." Biosource Technology 89: 267-274.

van Beek, T. A., B. Kuster, F.W. Claassen, T. Tienvieri, F. Bertaud, G. Lenon, M. Petit-Conil, R. Sierra-Alvarez. 2007. "Fungal bio-treatment of spruce wood with Trametes versicolor for pitch control: Influence on extractive contents, pulping process parameters, paper quality and effluent toxicity." Bioresource Technology 98(2): 302-311.

Vanden Wymelenberg, A., J. Gaskell, M. Mozuch, P. Kersten, G. Sabat, D. Martinez, D. Cullen. 2009. "*Transcriptome and secretome analysis of Phanerochaete chrysosporium reveal complex patterns of gene*." Applied and environmental microbiology 75(12): 4058-4068.

Volker, E. J., D. DiLella, K. Terneus, C. Baldwin, and I. Volker. 2000. "The Determination of Ergosterol in Environmental Samples." Journal of chemical Education 77(12): 1621-1623.

Wan, C., and Y. Li. 2011. "Effectiveness of microbial pretreatment by Ceriporiopsis subvermispora on different biomass feedstocks." Bioresource Technology 102(16): 7507-7512.

Wan, C.X, and Y.B Li. 2010. "*Microbial delignification of corn stover by Ceriporiopsis subvermispora*." Enzyme and Microbial Technology 47(1-2): 31-36.

Watanabe, T. 2000. *Pictorial Atlas of Soil and Seed Fungi: Morphologies of Cultured Fungi and Key to Species*. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press. 504 pp.

Webster, J., and Roland W. 2007. Introduction to Fungi. New York: Cambridge University Press. 846 pp.

Weiland, P. 1993. "One and Two-Step Anaerobic Digestion of Solid Agroindustrial Residues." Water Science and Technology 27(2): 145-151.

Winquist, E., U. Moilanen, A. Mettala, M. Leisola, and A. Hatakka. 2008. "*Production of lignin modifying enzymes on industrial waste material by solid-state cultivation of fungi*." Biochemical Engineering Journal 42(2): 128-132.

Wu, J., and H.Q. Yu. 2007. "Biosorption of 2,4- dichlorophenol by immobilized white-rot fungus Phanerochaete chrysosporium from aqueous solutions." Biosource Technology 98(2): 253-259.

Yaghoubi, K., M. Pazouki, and S. A. Shojaosadati. 2008. "Variable optimization for biopulping of agricultural residues by Ceriporiopsis subvermispora." Bioresource Technology 99(10): 4321-4328.

Yamaoka-Yano, D. Mithico, and P. Mazzafera. 1999. "Catabolism of Caffeine and Purification of a Xanthine Oxidase Responsible for Methyluric Acids Production in Pseudomonas putida L." Revista de Microbiologia 30: 62-70.

Yang, Y.S., J.T. Zhou, H. Lu, Y.L. Yuan, and L.H. Zhao. 2011. "Isolation and characterization of a fungus Aspergillus sp. strain F-3 capable of degrading alkali lignin." Biodegradation 22(5): 1017-1027.

Yu, C. L., T. M. Louie, R. Summers, Y. Kale, S. Gopishetty, and M. Subramanian. 2009. "Two Distinct Pathways for Metabolism of Theophylline and Caffeine Are Coexpressed in Pseudomonas putida CBB5." Journal of Bacteriology 191(14): 4624-4632.

Zhang, X., K. Yan, H. Wang, and Y.Yin. 2007. "*Biodegradable liquid of lignocelluloses accelerate recalcitrant dye decolorization by white rot fungi*." Fresenius environmental bulletin 16(12A)): 1563-1570.

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A

Identification of filamentous fungi presented in composted coffee pulp

The methodology used to identify filamentous fungi from composted coffee pulp (collected on January 2010) was described in section 2.3.1.1.; preliminarily twelve isolates were identified, which were classified as follows: five fungi were identified as *Aspergillus* (Isolates C01, C02, C10, C11, C12), and seven (C03, C05, C06, C08, C04, C09, C07) were classified as others.

1. Aspergillus Link.

Aspergillus genus has the following characteristics: conidiophores upright, simple, terminating in a globose or clavate swelling, bearing phialides at the apex or radiating from the apex or the entire surface; conidia (phialospores) 1-celled, globose, often variously colored in mass, in dry basipetal chains (Barnett and Hunter, 1986). During the identification process we found five isolates belonging to this genus which were inoculated and incubated under selective media: CY20S, CYA, and Malt Extract Agar (MEA).

1.1. Isolate C01

Micro morphology - Figure 31 shows the specific characters described as follows: stipes are uncolored and yellowish near to the apices, longer than 250 μ m, expanding gradually into pyriform, vesicles 20 μ m in diameter; uniseriate; phialides 9 μ m, conidia globose 4-5 μ m in diameter.



Figure 31 Isolate C01 microscopic characters. MEA 40x (left) wet mounting 10x (right)

Macro morphology – The fungus growth was evaluated based on colony color obtaining as a result dark green colonies, with a white mycelium (CY20S and CYA), also yellow pale pigmentation on the reverse was observed. CYA at 37 °C a grayish colony and yellow reverse was observed. MEA at 25 °C shows light green colonies with a green pigmentation on reverse. The colony diameter was 26.5, 50.3, 47.5 and 48.2 mm for CY20S, CYA 25°C, MEA, and CYA 37°C respectively (See Figure 32).



Figure 32 Isolate C01 colonies adverse and reverse. (**A-B**) CY20S at 25°C, (**C-D**) CYA at 37 °C, (**E-F**) CYA at 25 °C and (**G-H**) MEA 25°C after 7 days inoculated.

1.2. Isolate C02

Micro morphology - Figure 33 shows the specific characters described as follows: stipes are uncolored, expanding gradually into pyriform, vesicles 48-34 μ m in diameter; uniseriate; phialides 10-11 μ m, conidia globose 3-4 μ m in diameter.


Figure 33 Isolate C02, inoculated on CYA 25°C (40x)

Macro morphology - The fungal isolate C02 was inoculated on the same media used for isolate C01. Dark green colonies were observed on CY20S, CYA and MEA at 25 °C some colonies showed a white mycelium and a protuberance. Colonies observed on CY20S and CYA yellow pale pigmentation was showed on the reverse. CYA at 37 °C a grayish colony and yellow reverse was observed. MEA at 25 °C light green colonies, yellow reverse and green pigmentation center was showed. The colony diameter was 29.3, 47.3, 49.3 and 32.5 mm for CY20S, CYA 25°C, MEA, and CYA 37°C respectively. (Figure 34)



Figure 34 Isolate C02 colonies adverse and reverse. (A-B) CY20S at 25°C, (C-D) CYA at 37 °C, (E-F) CYA at 25 °C and (G-H) MEA 25 °C after 7 days inoculated.

1.3. <u>Isolate C10</u>

Micro morphology - Figure 35 shows the specific characters described as follows: stipes are uncolored and greenish near to the apices, long 385 μ m x 9, expanding gradually into pyriform, vesicles 20 μ m in diameter; uniseriate; phialides 8-9 μ m, conidia globose 3-4 μ m in diameter.



Figure 35 Isolate C10 microscopic characters: CYA 25° C 40x (left) wet mounting 10x (right)

Macro morphology – The fungus isolate C10 showed dark green colonies on CY20S, CYA and MEA at 25 °C some colonies showed white mycelium (CY20S and CYA), also yellow pale pigmentation with olive green center on the reverse was observed. CYA at 37 °C a grayish colony and yellow reverse was observed. MEA at 25 °C light green colonies, and yellow reverse was showed. The colony diameter was 28, 47.2, 50.8 and 59.7 mm for CY20S, CYA 25°C, MEA, and CYA 37°C respectively (See Figure 36).



Figure 36 Isolate C10 colonies adverse and reverse. (A-B) CY20S at 25°C, (C-D) CYA at 37 °C, (E-F) CYA at 25 °C and (G-H) MEA 25 °C after 7 days inoculated.

1.4. Isolate C11

Micro morphology - Figure 37 shows the specific characters describe as follows: stipes are uncolored and greenish near to the apices, longer than 250 μ m, expanding gradually into pyriform, vesicles 28 μ m in diameter; uniseriate; phialides 10 μ m, conidia globose 3-4 μ m in diameter



Figure 37 Isolate C11 microscopic characters: MEA 25° C 60x

Macro morphology – Isolate C11, shows dark green colonies on CY20S, CYA and MEA at 25 °C, some colonies showed white mycelium (CY20S and CYA), also yellow pale pigmentation with olive green center on the reverse was observed. CYA at 37 °C a grayish colony and yellow reverse was observed.

MEA at 25 °C light green colonies and on yellow reverse were showed. The colony diameter was 42.3, 50, 60 and 64.3 mm for CY20S, CYA 25°C, MEA, and CYA 37°C respectively (See Figure 38).



Figure 38Isolate C11 colonies adverse and reverse. (A-B) CY20S at 25°C, (C-D) CYA at 37 °C, (E-F) CYA at 25 °C and (G-H) MEA 25 °C after 7 days inoculated.

1.5. Isolate C12

Micro morphology - Figure 39 shows the specific characters describe as follows: stipes are uncolored and greenish near to the apices, longer than 280 μ m, expanding gradually into pyriform, vesicles 24 μ m in diameter; uniseriate; phialides 8 μ m, conidia globose 4-5 μ m in diameter.



Figure 39 Isolate C12 microscopic characters: MEA 25° C 40x

Macro morphology – Isolate C12 showed dark green colonies on CY20S, CYA and MEA at 25 °C, CY20S the color was more intense, some colonies showed white mycelium and a protuberance. For CY20S and CYA yellow pale pigmentation on the reverse was observed. CY20S olive green pigmentation in the center was observed, on CYA green- brown pigmentation was observed. CYA at 37 °C a grayish colony, yellow reverse was observed. MEA at 25 °C shows light green colonies, on the reverse, yellow with green pigmentation on the center were observed. The colony diameter was 43.5, 45.2, 50.2 and 57.8 mm for CY20S, CYA 25°C, MEA, and CYA 37°C respectively (See Figure 40).



Figure 40 Isolate C11 colonies adverse and reverse. (A-B) CY20S at 25°C, (C-D) CYA at 37 °C, (E-F) CYA at 25 °C and (G-H) MEA 25 °C after 7 days inoculated.

After inoculation and incubation in selective media for *Aspergillus* we concluded that the isolates described above had the characteristics of *Aspergillus fumigatus*, this conclusion was based on the *Aspergillus* key.

2. Other fungi isolated from composted coffee pulp

The isolates presented below were inoculated on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) under slide culture conditions.

2.1. Isolate C03

This isolate showed hyaline hyphae, conidia (arthrospores) formed by segmentation of branches (See figure 41).



Figure 41 Isolate C03 wet mounting 40 x at 25°C (right) structure from Barnett and Hunter (1986) (left)

Based on the characteristics described above we concluded that the fungus isolate C03 belong to Oidioendron Robak

2.2. Isolate C05

Isolate C05 shows characteristics described as follows: white mycelium, septate, conidiophores absent, conidia (arthrospores) hyaline, 1-celled, short cylindrical with truncate ends, formed by segmentation of hyphae (see figure 42); are mostly saprophytic, common in soil. Some basidiomycetes form conidia in this manner (Barnett and Hunter, 1986).



Figure 42 Isolate C05 wet mounting 40 x on PDA (right), culture on PDA at 25°C (upper left) and structure from Barnett and Hunter (1986)(Bottom left)

Based on the characteristics described above we concluded that the fungus isolate C05 belong to *Geotrichum* Link.

2.3. Isolate C06

Isolate C06 shows characteristics described as follows: conidiophores poorly differentiated, much like vegetative hyphae, mostly erect and branching irregularly, hyaline; conidia (aleuriospores or arthrospores) hyaline, 1-celled, globose to pyriform, terminal or intercalary, single or in short chains, usually with a broad basal scar; saprophytic (see figure 43).



Figure 43 Isolate C06 wet mounting 40 x on PDA (Right) and structure from Barnett and Hunter (1986) (left)

Based on the characteristics described above we concluded that the fungus isolate C06 belong *Chrysosporium* Corda.

2.4. Isolate C08

Isolate C08 show the characteristics described as follows: hyaline to brown mycelium; conidiophores sparsely branched only on upper portion, rebranched irregularly, branches segmenting into rod-shaped or rounded conidia, remaining in chains; conidia (arthrospores) 1-celled, hyaline or subhyaline (see figure 44); is a saprophytic microorganism.



Figure 44 Isolate C08 wet mounting 40 x on PDA at 25 °C (Right) structure from Barnett and Hunter (1986) (left)

Based on the characteristics described above we concluded that the fungus isolate C08 belong to *Oidiodendron* Robak.

2.5. Isolate C04

Isolate C04 shows the characteristics described as follows: mycelium external on host, white; conidiophores upright, simple; upper portion increases in length as conidia are formed; conidia (meristem arthrospores) cylindrical, 1-celled, hyaline, produced in basipetal chains (see figure 45); this organism is parasitic on higher plants, producing powdery mildews.



Figure 45 Isolate C04 wet mounting 40 x at 25°C (Right) structure from Barnett and Hunter (1986) (left)

Based on the characteristics described above we concluded that the fungus isolate C04 belong to *Oidium* Sacc.

2.6. Isolate C09

Isolate C09 shows characteristics described as follows: conidiophores simple, resembling vegetative hyphae elongating slightly at apex as conidia are formed; conidia (arthrospores) globose, with truncate base, hyaline to pale brown, l-celled in simple basipetal chains; saprophytic; *B. rubra* is conidial state of *Monascus rubra* (see figure 46).



Figure 46 Isolate C08 wet mounting 40 x on PDA at 30 °C (Right) structure from Barnett and Hunter (1986) (left)

Based on the characteristics described above we concluded that the fungus isolate C08 belong to *Basipetospora* Cole and Kendrick.

2.7. Isolate C07

Isolate C09 shows characteristics described as follows: white mycelium (culture), filamentous at room temperature, yeastlike at 37 °C; conidia (aleuriospores) thick-walled, budding cells (blastospores) found in lesions; pathogenic in man, causing blastomycosis (See figure 47).



Figure 47 Isolate C07 wet mounting 40 x on PDA at 25 °C (Right) structure from Barnett and Hunter (1986) (left)

Based on the characteristics described above we concluded that the fungus isolate C07 belong to *Blastomyces* Cost and Roll.

APPENDIX B

Caffeine degradation by Aspergillus tamari



Figure 48 Caffeine content during fermentation of coffee pulp under SSSmF conditions for *Aspergillus tamarii* (6 replicates per time sample).

APPENDIX C

Two-way ANOVA: Caffeine (mg/l) versus Incubation time(days), Fungi minimal media with caffeine

```
Source
DF
SS
MS
F
P

Incubation time
5
348986
69797
15.46
0.000

Fungi
1
289403
289403
64.11
0.000

Interaction
5
214398
42880
9.50
0.000

Error
24
108333
4514
4514

Total
35
961120
56
56
```

 $S=67.19 \ \ R\text{-}Sq=88.73\% \ \ R\text{-}Sq(adj)=83.56\%$

Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Incubation Pooled StDev Mean -----+ time ----+---+---0 1118.84 (---*---) (----*----) 10 1069.94 20 1059.18 (----35 978.91 ---*---) 49 1010.80 69 811.61 (----*---) ----+-----+--



Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Pooled StDev

- 2 1097.87 (----*----)

910 980 1050 1120



Figure 49 Residual Plots for minimal media (caffeine)

APPENDIX D

Two-way ANOVA: Caffeine (mg/L) versus Incubation time (days), Fungi (Caffeine sucrose)

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	Р	
Incubation	time 5	5468	86 1093	377	32.94	0.000
Fungi	1 13	37303	137303	41.	35 0.0	00
Interaction	5 4	441160	88232	26	.57 0.0	000
Error	24 7	9696	3321			
Total	35 12	05045				

 $S=57.63 \ \ R\text{-}Sq=93.39\% \ \ R\text{-}Sq(adj)=90.36\%$

Individual 95% CIs For Mean Based on Incubation Pooled StDev

1110 400		00104 01			
time	Mean -	+	+	+	+
0	1150.18		(-*)	
16	977.03		(*)		
28	1014.64		(*)		
32	1052.88		(*))	
41	998.54		(*)		
69	743.65 (-	*)			
	+	+-	+	+	
	750	900	1050	1200	



2 1051.24



900 950 1000 1050



Figure 50 Residual Plots for minimal media (caffeine-sucrose)